

From the Rebel Self to Universal Liberation: Resistance, Humanism, and Decolonial Consciousness in Kazi Nazrul Islam's Bidrohi

Dr. Md. Sazzad Hossain¹

Professor, Department of English, Dhaka International University
Email: sazzad.eng@diu.ac

Mohammad Shah Alam Chowdhury²

Professor, Department of English, Dhaka International University
Email: shahalam.eng@diu.ac

Syed A. F. M. Rahmat-E-Khoda³

Bangladesh Center for Research and Planning, Dhaka, Bangladesh
Email: syedrahmat.edu@gmail.com

Keywords:

*Kazi Nazrul Islam,
Bidrohi, rebellion,
resistance,
humanism,
decolonial
consciousness,
postcolonialism,
liberation, Bengali
poetry*

ABSTRACT: Kazi Nazrul Islam's Bidrohi (The Rebel) is uniquely significant in modern Bengali literature because the idea of rebellion in it goes beyond immediate political protest and turns into a full-fledged vision of human emancipation. In the volatile backdrop of British colonial India the poem develops a militant lyrical self that disavows political subservience, social hierarchy, communal division and intellectual enclosure. This article explores the ways in which Bidrohi reconfigures the individual rebel as a universal archetype of resistance by connecting the themes of anti-colonial consciousness, humanism, religious and mythological pluralism, self-assertion and emancipation. The study uses a qualitative, interpretive, and text-centred methodology based on close reading and postcolonial and decolonial philosophy. The poem is noted for its wide-ranging first-person voice, its conflation of Hindu, Islamic, classical, and other cultural references, its dialectic of destruction and creation, and its ethical sympathy with imprisoned humanity. The argument contends that Nazrul's rebel self cannot be seen as an exercise of individual ego or of nationalist militancy. The poetic "I" nevertheless is purposefully broadened to be able to function as a communal subject, that can bridge religious, cultural, political and geographical borders. The poem decolonizes symbolic space, refusing the monopolization of cultural authority, and rearranging multiple traditions in an emancipatory poetic world. At the same time, its rebellion takes on a specifically humanistic goal: destruction is important only as a means of ending oppression and restoring human dignity. The paper ends by suggesting that Bidrohi presages the major themes of later postcolonial and decolonial rhetoric by associating political independence with psychological, cultural and ethical liberty. Its lasting relevance is that it turned rebellion from a protest with a historical context into a universal moral commitment against dominance.

Introduction

Kazi Nazrul Islam (1899-1976) became one of the most significant literary voices in twentieth-century Bengal. He did it in a time of colonial oppression, political upheaval, economic injustice, communal tension, and rising movements for national liberation. His poetry, lyrics, journalism, fiction and political writings continuously challenged structures of domination, giving literary expression to desires for freedom, equality, dignity and social justice. Thus, the 'Rebel Poet' is not just a title derived from the biographical context of

Nazrul, but an indication of a fundamental attitude of his mind to his art. Bidrohi is the foremost among the masterpieces that established his reputation. The poem was written in late 1921 and published in 1922, at a time of intense political and intellectual change in British India. Anti-colonial movements had challenged the legitimacy of imperial power, while the experience of the First World War, revolutionary movements overseas, economic exploitation and social inequality had given rise to new kinds of political consciousness. In this way Bidrohi spoke a voice which in its exceptional confidence challenged the whole psychology of subordination. The poem opens with the symbolization of an unconquerable self. Its renowned claim that the head of the rebel is always up turns a physiological stance into political and psychological symbolism. The straight head is a symbol of dignity, rejection, and release from internalized inferiority. But the poem soon shows that its “I” cannot be taken as simply Nazrul’s personal self. The speaker assumes and re-assumes cosmic, historical, mythical, religious, destructive, creative, masculine, feminine, violent, compassionate, earthly, and transcendent identities. It is throughout these accumulations that the personal pronoun becomes a collective and universal category. Existing research has rightly addressed Nazrul’s resistance against British imperialism. One of the striking features of Nazrul’s literary struggle with colonial domination and social injustice is resistance, as noted by Mondal (2023). More concretely, Biswas (2022) sees Bidrohi in terms of the relation between selfhood and resistance, underlining the transformation of the will of the individual into a force that resists colonial subjugation and institutionalized injustice.

But Bidrohi seeks a larger interpretive matrix than the conventional anti-colonial nationalism. Nazrul’s uprising is not ended with the expulsion of foreign political lords. It is a critique of all forms of domination. In this sense, his poetic imagination anticipates what later postcolonial and decolonial theorists have called for: the need to transform not only political institutions but also consciousness, cultural hierarchies, and inherited power systems.

In this light, Rahaman’s (2022) interpretation of Nazrul is extremely significant. He interprets Nazrul’s literary and political activities as a sort of decolonizing praxis that resists both British imperialism and oppressive social institutions inside colonial society. This reading makes Bidrohi readable as an anti-colonial poem as well as a critique of domination in a larger sense.

This paper elaborates this thesis and its transition from the “rebel self” to one that can be labeled “universal liberation.” The paper claims that throughout the poem revolt is constructed thru three interrelated dimensions: resistance, humanism, and decolonial consciousness. Resistance gives its political vigor, humanism gives its ethical direction and decolonial consciousness takes emancipation beyond formal political independence to psychological, cultural and epistemological freedom.

Objectives of the Study

The principal objective of this study is to critically examine the transformation of the rebel self into a universal emancipatory subject in Kazi Nazrul Islam's Bidrohi. More specifically, the study seeks:

1. To study the creation and symbolic meaning of the rebellious poetic self in Bidrohi. To study the connection between revolt and opposition to colonial and other systems of domination.
2. To study the humanistic foundation of Nazrul's concept of freedom.
3. To study the relevance of theological and mythical plurality in the poem; to read Bidrohi from selected postcolonial and decolonial viewpoints.
4. To study the sustained relevance of the poem as a literary discourse for global liberation.

Literature Review

There has been much scholarly analysis of Nazrul's work, with emphasis on his rebellion, anti-colonialism, religious harmony, humanism, and social equality. These considerations form an important basis for rereading Bidrohi as a book in which political resistance becomes a larger philosophy of emancipation. Mondal (2023) points out the literature of Nazrul as a significant tool of resistance to British imperialism. His analysis shows how Nazrul's oppositional imagination simultaneously confronted imperial domination and the inequalities woven throughout society. This dual perspective is significant because it differentiates Nazrul's rebelliousness from a narrow view of nationalism in which emancipation is equated with independence alone. Biswas (2022) addresses Bidrohi, specifically the depiction of the individual and of resistance. His research project reveals the nature of the extraordinary self-centeredness that animates the poem, and which allows it to refuse their longstanding oppression by colonialism. at once unique, representative, the rebel is the self speaking with the energy of suppressions. The concept of decolonial theory is also greatly expanded on Rahaman (2022), borrowing from Nazrul's concepts. Nazrul's political poetry and thought threatens not just the British rule but also rule of control through race, gender and culture, he says.

He believes decolonization goes beyond just an end of colonial government; it is a continuous cultural change. This is particularly true of Bidrohi, an always rebellious imagination that leaves the present colonial constraints behind. Similarly, Nazrul as a poetics of resistance and revolution is taken for-granted with Hasan (2020). The human presence he posits might recur even today in proliferating "structures of exploitation, exclusion and supremacy", as Lenin's oppressed "satellites" failed the "Big Four [to] forget". The next aspect of which Nazrul studies is my important aspect of studies belongs to my studies of Nazrul's usage of the myth. Bidrohi is highly influenced by Hinduism and Islam and even more use of cultural and historical symbols. Nazrul's research on the mythical imagination of the people indicates that this mixture of civilizations does not have simply whimsical features. In Nazrul's Taxology, Biswas reflects on the submittal features

of Nazrul's poetry, which merges Hindu and Muslim traditions, with their emphasis on notions of integrity, justice, equality and humanism.

Recent study has also interpreted Nazrul's mythical plurality as a tool for global humanism. Adhikari's analysis contends that myths in Nazrul's poetry serve as vehicles to articulate an emancipatory vision that goes beyond communal and national bounds. This is the dimension that is crucial to the understanding of the universalization of the rebel self. Nazrul's humanism has also attracted increased scholarly study. His combination of revolt, religious plurality, and human dignity indicates that his radicalism is not reducible to destruction or political rage. Rather, rebellion is aimed to an ethical reconstruction of society. Contemporary study has so associated Nazrul's resistance with spiritual humanism, fairness, equality and ethical enlightenment.

All these contributions, there remains an integrated interpretation that links the poem's building of selfhood to resistance, humanism, mythical multiplicity and decolonial consciousness. In this paper I argue that these dimensions are not distinct themes and so fill this area. They are facets of a same emancipatory movement: the rebel self refuses to be dominated, goes beyond constraining identities, identifies with suffering humanity, appropriates different cultural traditions, and seeks universal liberty.

Research Methodology

This study uses a qualitative, interpretive and text-centred methodology. The major text is Kazi Nazrul Islam's *Bidrohi* and secondary sources are the pertinent scholarly works on Nazrul, colonialism, post-colonialism, humanism, resistance, and decoloniality. The primary method is close reading of the text itself. The work deals with repetitive imagery, metaphor, symbolism, mythological allusion, pronoun use, antagonistic frameworks, rhetorical repetition and the link between destructive and regenerative imagery. The study also utilizes thematic analysis in organizing the poem into five interconnected analytical categories: (a) construction of the rebel self, (b) resistance and anti-colonial consciousness, (c) religious and mythological plurality, (d) humanism and universal liberation, and (e) decolonial reconstruction of identity. The wider theoretical orientation is postcolonial and decolonial viewpoints. Fanon's work on colonial psychology and liberation is useful for understanding the change of subordinated consciousness into resistant subjectivity. Said's understanding of the link between culture and imperial power helps to pinpoint the cultural features of colonial power. Concepts related to coloniality and decoloniality also allow the research to go beyond political independence and address issues of knowledge, identity, cultural hierarchy, and subject formation. The research does not argue that Nazrul was intentionally anticipating every idea later stated by postcolonial or decolonial theorists. Instead, these frameworks are utilized heuristically to illuminate aspects of the poem that are not limited to a narrowly historical reading.

The Rebel Self: From Individual “I” to Collective Subject

The most unique thing about the use of the first person pronoun with Bidrohi is how often it occurs. The poem has no presence other than the constant "I. There is no other presence in the poem except that of "I. At first look this rhetorical framework could appear egotistical. However, its volume and variety of the speaker's person is so great that a strictly individualistic interpretation is not enough. Nazrul's "I" gets so big that it is seemingly difficult to restrict in an individual personality. The rebel is connected to disease, monsters, religion, history, devastation, invention, softness, madness, tenderness, suffering, passion, cosmic stirrings and youth. The "self" is both singularly and multiply one. This is an important political tactic. Instability of identity and military and economic control has been key throughout the history of colonialism. The colonized person is portrayed as being lowly, service-oriented, weak and unable to assert himself independently. Colonialism remodels the material world and the psychological makeup of subjects (Fanon, 1963). In order to be free, we must restore our authority." The colonial distribution of agency is drastically reclaimed by Bidrohi's rebel self. It doesn't seek recognition by power, it says it has its own sovereignty. These frequent self-assertions are thus a kind of linguistic rebellion. Subject subordinate ceases to be a speaker within the lexicon of power, and turns into the producer of lexicon's symbolizing cosmos. However, the "I" remains unpublished. As Observed by Biswas (2022), the conception of self in the poem is transformed into a collective identity resisting. There is a transparent kind of "literary ego" that makes the rebel "representative. It can embrace contradictory identities without compromising on its emancipatory slant. Thus, the process of self to universality starts in growth rather than deletion. Nazrul does not destroy individuality; he transforms the dignity of the individual to solidarity.

Resistance and Anti-Colonial Consciousness

Bidrohi was born in a colonial environment in which political authority could not be divorced from racial and cultural hierarchy. Thus, resistance in the poem is inherently anti-colonial.

The poem is not a political statement in the conventional sense. It does not propose a program of governance, nor does it list administrative grievances. It does not attack the surface structure of the psychology that facilitates control. Its major political virtue is that it makes obedience unnatural. The rebel acknowledges no higher authority on earth. His self-assertion crosses metaphorical bounds. These pictures change resistance from a reaction to a specific policy into a general attitude to illegitimate power. According to Mondal (2023), Nazrul's artistic resistance was associated with British imperialism and was protesting against the greater kinds of injustice.

This distinction matters. The ultimate enemy of Bidrohi is not just a British official. It is the relation of tyranny. Hence the poem contains what can be called an anti-authoritarian universalism. Colonialism is the historical circumstance but the ethical concept is not

confined to colonialism. Any society that relies upon humiliation or exploitation or imposed inequity is bound to be an object of rebellion. Such a trait helps to explain why Nazrul can continue to stay relevant beyond the historical termination of British dominion. In similar vein, Hasan's reading of Nazrul in terms of neo-colonialism foregrounds the currency of his creative resistance in contexts where prejudice, exclusion and exploitation continue to exist. The resistance in Bidrohi is therefore historically distinctive and philosophically transferable.

Destruction as a Prelude to Reconstruction

The ferocious intensity of Bidrohi swiftly overcomes initial readings of the poem. Throughout the work, images of storms, fire, weapons, cosmic catastrophe, thunder and destruction repeat. But to read this violence, outside of its ethical objective, would be to misread Nazrul's vision of rebellion.

Destruction works dialectically. The rebel destroys because the unjust system does not let a humane one to arise. Before emancipation can be imagined, the old framework must be destabilized. This is the connection between destruction and creation that lies at the heart of revolutionary thinking. Fanon (1963) has clearly explained decolonization as more than just a change in administration; it is a fundamental shift in the colonial world. Nazrul continues to be a poet of his imagination, which has no room for superficial changes in matters of fundamental relations if these relations are repressive.

But Bidrohi does not praise pain for the sake of suffering. The ending of the poem equates an ending of rebellion with an ending of oppression. The rebel will be quiet only when the world is no longer filled with the cries of the oppressed. This ethical situation changes fundamentally the meaning of lyrical violence. The rebel does not desire eternal turmoil. His purpose is to eliminate the conditions which make revolt necessary.

Destruction and compassion are therefore in the same subject. It is precisely the sensitivity of the rebel to suffering that makes him terrible to oppression. The poem's emotional construction therefore evolves from fury to solidarity to liberty.

Humanism and the Ethics of Universal Liberation

Humanism is the moral foundation of Nazrul's uprising. Without this dimension, Bidrohi could be read just as a manifestation of power against power. But the poem always implies that its rebellion is ethically aimed at the sufferers. Nazrul's humanism is not an ethereal celebration of mankind, divorced from social reality. It is a tough humanity. Dignity becomes significant for human beings because it requires a stand against institutions that deny dignity. The rebel is therefore not only with national sovereignty but with freedom from humiliation.

This difference increases the political horizon of the poem. The independence of a nation does not ensure the absence of the economic oppression, religious discrimination, gender hierarchy or other forms of dominance. Rebellion may be all about nationalism and these conditions may go unseen. Nazrul's humanistic perspective does not permit this closure.

Recent works on Nazrul's theological and ethical that also underline the relationship between revolt, justice, equality and human dignity. The universalism of Bidrohi, therefore, should not be mistaken for political neutrality. Nazrul does not become universal by overlooking the difference between the oppressor and the oppressed. He becomes universal by building up a sense of solidarity with the people who suffer injustice. Thus, universal emancipation is an ethical vision, not an actualized condition. The poem imagines a world where the need for rebellion goes away, because the mechanisms that produce suffering have gone away.

Mythological Pluralism and Cultural Decolonization

Perhaps the most outstanding artistic characteristic of Bidrohi is its amazing aggregation of mythical, religious and cultural themes. Nazrul uses materials from Hindu and Islamic traditions, as well as other historical and cultural traditions. The poem is filled with Indic figures such as Shiva, Kali, Krishna and others, as well as Islamic and West Asian themes. As a result of this mixing, there are significant implications. Often times colonial knowledge Polarized civilizations into categories. Religious groups may be seen as homogeneous, mutually exclusive groups. Such a classification can reinforce boundaries and ease political control. Nazrul's lyrical imagination does not want such compartmentalization.

He traverses traditions with astonishing confidence, to consider multiple symbolic inheritances as available components of a single cultural vocabulary. This reading is supported by studies of Nazrul's mythical practice. Scholarship has highlighted that Bidrohi is bringing Hindu and Muslim traditions into the same poetry arena and using them to express integrity, justice, equality and humanism. Adhikari further believes that Nazrul's mythic borrowings are not mere adornment but serve a universal humanistic ideal that goes beyond communal bounds. One way to understand this method is as a form of cultural decolonization. Nazrul rejects colonial hierarchies of civilization and collective ownership of culture in its limited form.

He does not ask himself if a symbol belongs to one religious community before he uses it in his emancipatory language. Rather, symbols are reused in terms of their ability to convey power, transformation, courage, justice and transcendence. The poem thus develops what may be called an anticivilizational identity of the rebel. The rebel can express himself thru Shiva and Islamic religious imagination without feeling a contradiction, for the poem is organized not around sectarian identification but around the impulse of emancipation. This syncretic structure has profound political ramifications in the setting of colonial Bengal

where communal conflicts increasingly dominated public life. The poem does not reply by eradicating religion, but by pluralizing symbolic belonging. Thus, the universality of Nazrul is not one of sameness but of variety.

Decolonial Consciousness in Bidrohi

While the current lexicon of “decoloniality” was developed after the first great phase of Nazrul’s literature, Bidrohi displays a number of qualities that can be constructively read thru the decolonial lens.

Formal colonialism is direct political dominance. Coloniality refers to the wider permanent structures of hierarchy connected with colonial power , such as cultural authority , racial classification , knowledge production , and ideas of what it is to be human. Quijano (2000) colonial structures of power can outlive the political decolonization. Thus, Mignolo (2011) points to the necessity to question epistemic systems bequeathed from colonial modernity.

Bidrohi performs such a challenge poetically.

First, it decolonizes the subject. The colonized subject refuses to be a subaltern identity. The rebel becomes the center from which meaning emanates.

Second, it decolonizes authority of culture. Nazrul does not overprivilege the European classical or colonial cultural frames as the only mode of universality. Rather his imaginary world is populated by Islamic, Hindu, Indic, Asian and other traditions.

Third, the poem decolonizes religious identity by not confining itself to fixed communal limits. The rebel can be found at one and the same time in several symbolic traditions.

Fourth, it decolonizes the political imagination. Freedom is not shown as just the transfer of administrative authority. It is conceived as freedom from any structures that undermine human dignity.

This view is significantly supported by Rahaman’s (2022) work, which reads Nazrul’s poetry as a practice of political intervention and cultural decolonization. His analysis further reveals that Nazrul is not only opposed to colonial power, but also to the racial and gender system of the society. In this sense, decolonization in Bidrohi can be regarded as a reclamation of the right to self, culture, community and future beyond frameworks of enforced inferiority.

The Politics of Contradiction: Masculine, Feminine, Fierce, and Tender

The rebel’s identity is shaped by seeming paradoxes. He is aggressive and kind, destructive and creative, male and feminine, terrible and lovely. These conflicts are not to be understood as esthetic inconsistency. They are a willful provocation to binary formations.

The oppositions of colonizing and patriarchal regimes are used to stabilize power: the ruler/ruled, civilized/uncivilized, strong/weak, masculine/feminine, rational/emotional.

Nazrul's rebel violates such structures by being both sides at once. Inclusion of feminine identities is very important. The rebel's power is not tied to the usually male violence. Tenderness, beauty, motherhood, passion, emotional intensity are equally appropriate elements of revolutionary subjectivity. This mobility reinforces the poem's drive toward universality. If the rebel were just a heroic male fighter, then the universality of his identity would be narrow. Nazrul, by combining apparently opposing qualities, creates a topic that can stand for a wider range of human experience. The rebel is thus not universal, for he has no identity. He is global because he is multiplicity.

Discussion

The above research has exposed the structural interrelation of the key theme dimensions of Bidrohi.

The poem begins with self-assertion but self-assertion becomes resistance. Resistance becomes opposed to domination. Resistance to domination is ethically directed by identification with suffering humanity. Mythic and theological pluralism beyond the bounds of communal cooperation and enters into humanistic solidarity. Ultimately these practices are producing some type of decolonial consciousness.

Conceptual representation of this movement:

Rebel Self→Resistance→Recovery of Agency→CulturalPlurality→Humanistic
Solidarity→Universal Liberation

It is not a strictly linear succession. Each dimension reinforces the other. Having transcended psychological subordination, the rebel can confront colonial authority; having an expansive identity, he can overcome communal differences; and having release from pain as his ultimate goal, he can ethically justify rebellion. Such a total understanding makes Nazrul different from the concepts of rebellion based on total denial.

Bidrohi says no to domination since it also says yes to human possibility. Thus, the colonial historical setting does not exhaust the meaning of the poem. It was born in British India, but its ethical logic is relevant wherever human dignity is constrained by political, economic, racial, religious, gendered, cultural or intellectual control.

This does not mean wiping Bidrohi out of history. Not at all. It also emphasizes the historical specificity of a poem, a poet and their historical moment in which their work is created, such that we might infer, through the poem, that the 'immediate resistance' of one moment might be embraced as a broader philosophy of freedom in another. This is Nazrul's

achievement, this passage from the historical to the universal. The rebel begins as a voice that challenges the structures around him. But in the end, revolt has become inextricably bound up with the fate of downtrodden mankind. There is no individual liberation without social liberation.

Contemporary Relevance

More than a hundred years after its birth, Bidrohi continues to be intellectually important because the forms of domination that its ethical imagination challenges have not vanished. Formal empires have mostly been demolished, but unequal relations of political, economic, cultural and epistemic power continue to impact global and local civilizations. Religious polarization, racial discrimination, economic exploitation, authoritarianism, gender inequality, and cultural exclusion show that political independence does not necessarily mean universal freedom.

The insurrection of Nazrul thus provides a useful contrast between independence and liberation.

Independence may refer to a constitutional or territorial condition.

Liberation is more difficult. It calls for the human being to be liberated from structures that consistently deny dignity, equality, voice and agency. Equally important is Bidrohi's religious plurality.

At a time when identity can be mobilized for purposes of exclusion and antagonism, Nazrul offers an alternative cultural imagination, one in which multiple traditions strengthen, rather than threaten, a shared humanistic vision. . The poems are not about harmony, they are about diversity. Instead, it produces unity thru difference. It remains one of the most helpful thought lessons it delivers.

Conclusion

Bidrohi by Kazi Nazrul Islam is much more than a poem of anger, revolutionary zeal or anti-colonial protest. It is the complex literary expression of an emancipatory consciousness, the struggle of which begins with the recovery of the individual and moves toward the emancipation of humanity. The analysis has revealed that the poem's rebellious "I" is not simply autobiographical or narcissistic. Through rhetorical repetition, mythological plurality, cosmic imagery, contradictory identities and connection with suffering humanity, the individual becomes collective and, in the end, universal. Its political drive is resistance; its ethical goal humanity. Nazrul does not take pleasure in destruction for the sake of destruction. The rebel destroys because the structures of repression prevent justice and dignity. His ultimate hope is for a world where resistance is unnecessary. Where control and pain are gone forever. The poem's fusion of Hindu, Islamic, and other cultural symbols also contributes to the rising tide of its multicultural and interreligious dimension. Nazrul's denial of ownership of symbolic traditions challenged the colonial regimes of cultural classification and communal segregation. Mythology then becomes a tool of

cultural emancipation. A decolonial reading also reveals that Bidrohi resists domination on many complex levels. It decolonizes subjectivity by making the subordinate subject a sovereign speaker, it decolonizes cultural imagination by placing non-European traditions at the center of universal meaning, it decolonizes religious identity by transgressing the borders of the communal, and it decolonizes political imagination by defining freedom as something more than the mere replacement of colonial administrators. The main philosophical course of the poem is the transition from the rebel ego to universal emancipation. Nazrul's revolt begins with his head held high. But he is not alone. His speech begins to combine many cultures, identities, feelings, histories and oppressed peoples, so that the rebellion of the individual becomes an ethical commitment to the liberation of the community. Thus Bidrohi is an element in the history of Bengali anti-colonial literature and in the wider intellectual history of resistance. Its lasting message is that freedom is not complete while domination exists, and that true rebellion finds its ultimate legitimacy in the struggle for human dignity, equality, justice and universal liberty.

References

1. Adhikari, P. K. (2025). Love or rebellion: Incorporation of foreign myths in Kazi Nazrul's poetry. *Khwaja Yunus Ali University Journal*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.61921/kyauj.v08i01.005>
2. Ashcroft, B., Griffiths, G., & Tiffin, H. (2002). *The empire writes back: Theory and practice in post-colonial literatures* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
3. Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
4. Biswas, S. (2022). Representation of the self and resistance: Some insights into Kazi Nazrul Islam's "Bidrohi" (The Rebel). In *Bidrohi: Shatabarshe Shatadrishti*. Bangla Academy.
5. Cesaire, A. (2000). *Discourse on colonialism* (J. Pinkham, Trans.). Monthly Review Press. (Original work published 1955)
6. Fanon, F. (1963). *The wretched of the earth* (C. Farrington, Trans.). Grove Press.
7. Fanon, F. (2008). *Black skin, white masks* (R. Philcox, Trans.). Grove Press. (Original work published 1952)
8. Hasan, S. M. (2020). Poetics of resistance and revolution: Reading Nazrul in the era of neo-colonialism. *Nazrul Institute Journal*.
9. Islam, K. N. (1922). *Agnibeena*. Arya Publishing House.
10. Islam, K. N. (1922). *Bidrohi* [The rebel]. In *Agnibeena*. Arya Publishing House.
11. Loomba, A. (2015). *Colonialism/postcolonialism* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
12. Memmi, A. (2003). *The colonizer and the colonized*. Earthscan.
13. Mignolo, W. D. (2011). *The darker side of Western modernity: Global futures decolonial options*. Duke University Press.
14. Mondal, M. S. (2023). Mechanism of resistance to British imperialism in the literature of Kazi Nazrul Islam. *Journal of Language and Linguistics in Society*, 3(4), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlls.34.1.11>

15. Quijano, A. (2000). Coloniality of power, Eurocentrism, and Latin America. *Nepantla: Views from South*, 1(3), 533–580.
16. Rahaman, H. (2022). Kazi Nazrul Islam and decolonisation: Poetry as a praxis of political intervention and cultural ecology. *Asiatic: IIUM Journal of English Language and Literature*, 16(1), 120–136. <https://doi.org/10.31436/asiatic.v16i1.2493>
17. Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.
18. Said, E. W. (1993). *Culture and imperialism*. Knopf.
19. Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). University of Illinois Press.
20. Young, R. J. C. (2001). *Postcolonialism: An historical introduction*. Blackwell.