

Power, Authority, and Ideal Governance: A Political Analysis of Mir Mosharraf Hossain's Bishad Sindhu

Naorin Afroz

Lecturer, Department of Economics, Dhaka International University
Email: naorinafroz.civil@diu.ac

Keywords:

Mir Mosharraf

Hossain,

Bishad Sindhu,

Political legitimacy,

Sovereign power,

Ethical leadership,

ideal governance,

Karbala,

Political resistance,

Bengali Muslim

literature,

Colonial Bengal

ABSTRACT: Mir Mosharraf Hossain's *Bishad Sindhu* (1885-1891) is a landmark in the history of Bengali Muslim literature. Its serious subjects include the literary reconstruction of the tragedy of Karbala, and its exploration of the moral foundations of government, historical memory, ethical duty and political authority. The ethical bounds of sovereign power and the question of political legitimacy raised in this text demand extensive critical investigation, usually approached from theological, historical, and literary viewpoints. This essay examines Hossain's story to explore how it distinguishes between the moral legitimacy of having political power and exercising political power. The study examines the tension between Yazid's claim to political authority and Husain's representation as a model of moral resistance. The study is based on Max Weber's theory of legitimate domination, Hannah Arendt's distinction between power and violence, Michel Foucault's discourse on power relations, and political theories of justice and accountability. The study argues that using qualitative textual analysis and historically contextualised literary critique, *Bishad Sindhu* was able to turn the memory of Karbala into a narrative that put political authority under ethical examination. The text is politically important in that it depicts coercive control as morally inadequate and advocates justice, integrity, restraint and accountability as essential qualities for leadership evaluation. Further, the study argues that the book is inscribed in the intellectual and cultural space of the nineteenth-century colonial Bengal and that its historical context provides a literary space for thinking through the relationship between governance, freedom and moral agency. The analysis concluded that *Bishad Sindhu's* idea of good governance has a strong ethical substance, but is not institutionally complete. It is most useful to our political thinking as a literary critique of unaccountable authority, rather than as a methodical model for a modern constitutional government.

1. Introduction

One of the most lasting problems of political philosophy is the link between political authority and moral validity. Political institutions have historically exercised and maintained authority by means of coercion, hereditary status, religious sanction, institutional recognition, and public consent. But the power to force allegiance does not of itself constitute the moral right to govern. It is particularly important to distinguish between lawful authority and effective control when political power is employed in ways that are incompatible with human dignity, ethical duty and justice.

It is only through the special medium of literature that this problem can be approached, because political conflict is embodied in narrative as the result of authority unmoored from moral constraint. The *Bishad Sindhu*, a literary work written in three parts from 1885 to 1891 by Mir Mosharraf Hossain, provides a particularly fertile literary platform for the investigation of these questions. Using the tragedy of Karbala as a springboard, the piece re-creates the controversy between Imam Husain and the Yazid-led forces that was ignited by the latter's ascension to the caliphate. The story makes this crucial historical and religious event a literary study in allegiance, ambition, sacrifice, sorrow and moral conviction. *Bishad Sindhu*'s political value goes beyond its presentation of a tragic historical episode. The story form leads the reader to reflect on the link between moral duties and political claims.

The importance of the struggle between Yazid and Husain is especially due to its contrast between the ethical ideals that could legitimise the exercise of political authority and the exercise of political authority. The moral validity of leadership cannot be evaluated just on the basis of military dominance, political supremacy and the capacity to command compliance. Also important is the literary reconstruction by Hossain within the framework of Bengali Muslim writing. *Bishad Sindhu*, written in the colonial period, is founded upon a past that was of immense importance for its target audience, religiously and culturally. Historical fiction allows writers to examine political and social issues in contexts that are far removed in time from their own surroundings. The study of colonial Indian historical fiction suggests that the representation of the past might foster reflection on the limitations of existing situations, political agency and governance (Rahman, 2017). In this sense, there is a need to consider the historical world depicted by Hossain and the nineteenth century literary milieu within which the depiction was produced. The present essay explores *Bishad Sindhu* as a work of literary political philosophy. This story is neither meant as a scientific study of political systems, nor does it assert that Hossain has directly foreseen present notions of constitutionalism or democracy.

It does not so much study the moral of the story, but rather the way the story distinguishes between right and wrong authority, responsible and irresponsible leaders, principled resistance and imposed acquiescence. The core thesis is that *Bishad Sindhu* represents political authority as ethically contingent and not self-justifying. The moral structure of the story does not allow the independent establishment of the legitimacy of the exercise of power. Authority also has to be judged in terms of human dignity, accountability, restraint and justice. Husain's resistance is important, therefore, as a literary representation of the possibility that

moral obligation can require the rejection of the dictates of a politically powerful entity, and as an act of defiance against an established sovereign. Furthermore, this argument requires a historically sensitive technique. The political institutions and religious controversies of seventh-century Karbala are not directly comparable to modern state systems. Similarly the literary picture of Yazid should not be treated as a full historical presentation of the man or the controversial events that brought about the catastrophe. The analysis is therefore divided into theoretical interpretation, literary representation and historical context. The article addresses five major questions: the representation of political legitimacy in *Bishad Sindhu*, the difference between coercive power and moral authority in the narrative, the challenge of Husain's resistance to the demands of political conformity, the ethical principles of governance that emerge from the narrative, and the political significance of the novel in the cultural and intellectual context of colonial Bengal. This research offers an interdisciplinary insight on *Bishad Sindhu* through the conversation between political theory and literary analysis. It believes that the novel's longevity depends on its capacity to reveal the ethical failure of political authority by deploying a vocabulary of tragedy, sacrifice, and moral choice.

2. Historical and Literary Context

2.1 Mir Mosharraf Hossain and the Bengali Literary Imagination

Mir Mosharraf Hossain (1847–1911) was a Bengali prose writer who was an important figure in the development of Bengali Muslim literary expression in the nineteenth century. His literary career took place in a colonial social setting where the spread of Bengali prose as a medium of intellectual communication, religious and cultural controversies and changing educational prospects marked the rise of a new Bengali middle class. One of the significant aspects of Hossain's literary creation is its capacity to bring topics related to the Muslim historical memory into the Bengali literary sphere. *Bishad Sindhu* is aesthetically significant for using the medium of Bengali prose to describe an event of great importance in the history of Islam. Its literary structure, with its narrative interpretation, its dramatic confrontation, its emotional intensity and its characterisation, lends itself to the transfer of historical memory. But the art itself should not be seen merely as a vehicle for religious remembrance. This picture of political struggle also allows an exploration of the link between moral obligation and authority. Hossain uses literary fiction to animate the moral aspects of leadership by portraying the consequences of political ambition on the lives of individuals and their communities. Any historically informed understanding must also recognise that nineteenth-century Bengali Muslim literature emerged from a broader colonial literary context. Literature of this period was

characterised by a struggle between tradition and changing social conditions, and by discussions of historical consciousness, education, and cultural identity. So, Hossain's use of a historical Islamic theme in Bengali has not been only a recreation of the past but also a creation of a literary space where history, identity and moral contemplation meet.

2.2 Karbala as Historical Memory and Political Narrative

The tragedy of Karbala, which took place in 680 AD, is a crucial event in the theological and historical conscience of Muslims. The death of Husain, the Prophet Muhammad's grandson, and his followers, became associated with questions of political succession, loyalty, injustice, martyrdom and the moral duties of leadership. The situation in Karbala is historically complex, with different Muslim scholarly traditions judging Yazid's responsibility and legitimacy of his rule differently. These discrepancies brought to a close the representation of the historical event as if all its political and theological aspects were undisputed. In literary criticism, one must distinguish the historical event itself from the particular moral interpretation the author gives it when reinterpreting it. In *Bishad Sindhu*, the conflict acts as a structure for the assessment of political power in relation to ethical convictions. The appeal for allegiance is especially relevant because it raises the question whether recognition of a sovereign can be separated from the moral implications of the political system that sovereign represents. The political implication of the narrative is precisely Husain's refusal to collaborate. It problematises the idea of the unquestionable obedience toward the existing power and so creates friction between the moral integrity and the political customs. The next war is not merely a battle for the sharing of power. It becomes a question of what standards authority can claim moral legitimacy by. This political reading does not pretend to answer any historical or religious arguments about Karbala. Rather it considers how Hossain's literary representation of the event makes it a lasting reflection on resistance, responsibility and power.

3. Research Problem, Objectives, and Questions

3.1 Research Problem

The existing works on *Bishad Sindhu* are mostly concerned with its literary importance, spiritual connections, historical problems and emotional presentation of Karbala. While these perspectives are important, they do not represent all possible readings of the text. It's portrayal of the moral limits of power and the obligations of leadership and political legitimacy requires a more systematic multidisciplinary approach. The article explores the relation between the moral framing of the tale and its political philosophy. In particular, the research explores how the narrative of the

battle between Yazid and Husain differentiates between governmental authority and moral legitimacy.

3.2 Research Gap

The research gap is not the lack of all political readings of Bishad Sindhu. Scholarship on colonial Indian historical fiction has recognised the power of historical narratives to wrestle with problems of governance and political freedom (Rahman, 2017). The more specific contribution of this study is to have a continuous debate with theories of legitimacy, coercion, justice and ethical leadership, as regards the portrayal of political authority in Bishad Sindhu. The analysis also distinguishes the novel's moral critique of domination from the structural norms of current administration. Such a distinction permits one to explore its political relevance without anachronistically importing modern constitutional conceptions into a nineteenth-century literary text.

3.3 Research Objectives

The research attempts to achieve the following objectives:

1. To study the representation of governmental authority and legitimacy in Bishad Sindhu.
2. To explore the construction of the literary binary of Yazid and Husain into competing models of authority.
3. To explore the relationship between justice, loyalty, morality and political resistance.
4. To find out the principles of governance that are ethical in nature as found in the story.
5. To evaluate the text's contribution to interdisciplinary research on Bengali literature and political philosophy.

3.4 Research Questions

The further enquiries are in the kind of instructions to the investigation:

1. How does Bishad Sindhu distinguish the moral legitimacy of political authority from its possession?
2. What features of the representations of Yazid and Husain indicate that they had different views of leadership?
3. How does the text connect moral obligation and political loyalty?
4. What conception of good government does it reveal in its approach to power and law?
5. How may the political implications of the novel be read in the light of the literary and colonial environment of nineteenth-century Bengal?

4. Literature Review

4.1 Literary History and Historical Fiction

The historical novel offers a unique way of comprehending political conflict by embedding questions of power and social structure in a narratively refashioned history. According to Lukács (1983), historical fiction can show the relation of an individual's experiences to wider historical movements. While based on a particular Marxist approach to the historical novel, his theoretical framework is applicable to the study of the ways in which literary characters participate in conflicts beyond their own circumstances. Historical fiction in colonial India was typically concerned with questions of cultural memory, political identity, and the relationship between history and the present. In his discussion on colonial power and historical fiction, Rahman (2017) underlines the role of historical tales as a conduit for authors to convey ideas of governance and political liberty. This idea is related to *Bishad Sindhu*, where its distant historical setting is used to demonstrate the moral results of political enslavement. But the historical fiction should not be read as a transparent picture of history. Hayden White (1973) exemplifies this. The representation of history is a matter of narrative choices that lend explanatory and interpretative force to occurrences. In the case of *Bishad Sindhu*, this perspective prompts one to analyse the ways in which characterisation, narrative focus and tragedy influence the reader's understanding of political legitimacy.

4.2 Political Legitimacy and Authority

There has long been in political thought a distinction between the effectiveness of power and the legitimacy of its exercise. Weber's notion of legitimate authority distinguishes multiple grounds for the recognition of political power such as traditional, charismatic and legal-rational legitimacy (Weber, 1978). His paradigm provides a conceptual language for examining the difference between the capacity of a ruler to issue commands and the arguments that make those directives legal. The historical setting of *Bishad Sindhu* cannot be directly related to Weber's theory. Its significance is to clarify the analytical distinction between political authority and ethical justification. This divergence can be used to examine the portrayal of loyalty in the novel. The command to obey raises questions that cannot be answered simply by identifying who has institutional or military power. Arendt (1970) makes standard conceptions of political power more difficult by distinguishing it from violence. Her story suggests that coercion should not be considered the same thing as genuine political authority. This divergence provides a positive framework for considering the relationship of the coercive resources attached to Yazid's position to the moral authority assigned to Husain in the story. Another point of view is the

conceptualisation of power as relational and the study of how power works through practices, institutions, knowledge and methods of social regulation (Foucault, 1980). His strategy encourages an understanding of the way in which authority is maintained by not only direct violence but also through expected compliance and normalising political expectations. They have different basic assumptions and historical contexts. They are thus used judiciously, rather than being merged into one indistinct model. In concert they explain the distinction between the exercise of power, recognition of authority and the moral evaluation of political conduct.

4.3 Justice, Moral Responsibility, and Governance

Another basis for judging the moral claims of government is to be found in conceptions of political justice. Rawls (1999) makes principles of fairness the central focus for evaluating social and political organisations. Bishad Sindhu does not provide a corresponding theory of institutional justice. But Rawls' emphasis on principled judgement explains why political arrangements cannot be justified on the basis of effectiveness alone. Wolff (1990) considers the problem of political obligation, raising the question of the moral grounds for individual obedience to political power. This is especially true of the story's representation of loyalty. If obedience has moral repercussions, then the legitimacy of a call for loyalty cannot be fully disentangled from the ethical character of the authority that is making the request. Berlin's analysis of liberty (1969) emphasises the necessity of studying the link between political power and individual freedom. The work is a literary exploration of the limits of political duties on human conscience in the representation of the renunciation of principles. The work should not be confused with the contemporary liberal beliefs about the individual rights. Collecting the methods together, the political significance of Bishad Sindhu lies in its capacity to lay bare the moral problems inherent in political obligation. This research extends this discourse by connecting such enquiries to the narrative structure of leadership and opposition in the novel.

5. Theoretical Framework

The study uses an interdisciplinary theoretical approach, using four analytical concepts: legitimacy, coercion, justice and ethical resistance. A useful beginning point in distinguishing between recognised authority and political control is Weber's concept of legitimate domination. The framework illuminates the grounds of a ruler's claim to obedience and the relation between this claim and the moral evaluation of rule.

Second, Arendt's distinction between power and violence helps account for why the ability to force submission is insufficient for political legitimacy. This approach is particularly useful in understanding the way the narrative treats the unequal distribution of material power and unequal moral weight given to the opposing parties.

Thirdly, Foucault's relational conception of power allows us to investigate political obedience as a practice sustained by mechanisms of domination, expectancies and demands. Allegiance, then, in *Bishad Sindhu* should not be regarded as a formal act but as a moment of confrontation between governmental power and moral resistance.

Fourth, ideas of justice and political obligation offer a template for investigating the moral circumstances in which obedience might be warranted or denied. It does not mean the resistance is necessarily valid. Instead they serve to bring out the need for evaluating political claims in ways that transcend the preferences of specific rulers.

In this paradigm we analyse the following analytical relationships:

- **Legitimacy and power:** what is the basis of political authority, if any, in effective control alone.
- **The potential of authority and compulsion replacing moral recognition:** authority and compulsion. Justice and leadership: the extent to which political action is evaluated in terms of ethical criteria that are independent of the will of the ruler.
- **The moral significance of non-compliance with political demands against moral responsibility:** conscience and resistance. It is a framework of interpretation not forecasting. It does not aim at establishing measurable causal relationships between political variables. Instead, it offers a methodical basis for the conceptual study and close reading of the novel.

6. Methodology

The study adopts a qualitative method that incorporates close textual analysis, interpretive analysis according to context, and theory-based literary critique. The primary source is *Bishad Sindhu* by Mir Mosharraf Hossain. The article deals with the narrative's treatment of loyalty, political ambition, coercion, moral legitimacy, self-sacrifice, and political strife. The literary juxtaposition between Yazid's assertion of authority and Husain's representation as a figure of moral resistance is much commented on. Through close readings, the author discusses the production of political importance through narrative events and character development. The work

is also considered in terms of its tragic quality — especially as regards moral judgement, and the difference between actual victory and moral significance. Historical contextualisation is used to situate the narrative in the memory of Karbala and the literary setting of nineteenth-century Bengal. The evaluation recognises that Hossain's story is a literary retelling and not a simple historical record. The theoretical analysis is based on existing studies in political philosophy. Rather than being seen as expected effects on Hossain, Weber, Arendt, Foucault and Rawls are analytical counterparts. This strategy avoids the direct attribution of ideas developed in current political theory to the author. The limitations of the study are three-fold. Its conclusions are based on the interpretation of a literary work and not meant to provide a full account of the real events in Karbala. Secondly, the assertion does not prove the novel's reception or political impact on historical audiences. First, the text provides no rationale for attributing to Hossain a comprehensive current constitutional framework. These limits delineate the parameters of the discourse, while preserving the novel's importance as a resource for the study of the literary representation of political morality.

7. Analysis and Discussion

7.1 Political Power as a Claim to Obedience

In Bishad Sindhu politics is the exercise of authority and assertion of loyalty. This link is important because it highlights the distinction between having authority and providing a morally sound justification for its execution. The story generates the assumption that loyalty is a far more important issue than a mere formal prerequisite for political change. It is a question of moral convictions and political commitment. Husain's refusal illustrates how political potency need not be the most crucial ethical need of a demand. One can see the challenge easily enough when one looks at Weber's distinction between legitimacy and authority. Weber (1978) defines political supremacy as the simple capacity to impose orders whereas legitimacy is the beliefs and reasons that justify the obedience to authority. They are different but they may be similar. The story lets the audience explore the question of whether the leader's potential for attracting allegiance is enough to establish whether the leader is ethically permissible. This question is particularly significant when considering political authority exercised through the suppression of fundamental resistance and coercion. The political consequence is that collaboration should be judged in the light of the circumstances in which it is demanded. If political recognition is an absolute responsibility, irrespective of the moral implications of the power to be recognised, then the demand for loyalty is morally problematic. This, then, is one way in which Bishad Sindhu might be seen as a critique of the idea that authority derives legitimacy

from its own existence. The image illustrates that claims in the political sphere whether based on physical might or institutional authority can be subjected to moral evaluation.

7.2 Yazid and the Personalisation of Authority

The tragedy of Karbala shows the distinction between physical strength and moral leadership. The two sides have different military assets and the importance of that difference is key to the catastrophe. The distinction between power and violence in Arendt is a helpful analytical tool. Violence is a tool that employs ways of compulsion or injury, as Arendt (1970) puts it. Power rests on a different concept and cannot be reduced to the mere possession of coercive instruments. This comparison, deftly applied to the Bishad Sindhu, exposes the limits of judging political strength by the sole standard of military force. In a struggle a political figure can win without showing an ethical sense of his methods and political system he supports. This statement is supported by the narrative's representation of mourning. The human implications of coercion mean that the conflict cannot be seen as a mere strategic tactic. Political actions are of moral importance because of their impact on persons and the pain they create. That does not mean that the use of force inherently poses a threat to political authority. This is not to say that the novel offers a united view of the proper authority. A less extravagant and more defensible claim is that coercive power alone is insufficient to settle the question of moral legitimacy. The disaster therefore questions the idea of governance that equates the successful suppression of opposition with political success. Dissent can be crushed, dissent can prevail, but justice cannot be had in isolation.

7.3 Coercion, Violence, and the Limits of Political Domination

The events of Karbala are a case in point in the difference between moral leadership and physical control. The tragedy is the disparity and its aftermath. The two sides in the conflict do not have military parity. Arendt's analytical perspective is a helpful tool for differentiating power from violence. Arendt (1970) argues that violence is an instrument that exerts coercion or hurt. But power rests on another base, it cannot be equated with the simple possession of means of force. Applied selectively to the Bishad Sindhu, this contrast demonstrates the limitations of measuring political power by military supremacy. A political actor can win a fight without justifying the moral legitimacy of his techniques or the political order that he defends. This claim is supported by the narrative's portrayal of grief. The conflict is not only a matter of strategic calculations, for the human costs of coercion are real. That is, political choices matter morally. Because they affect people, and cause suffering.

This does not mean that the use of force necessarily constitutes a threat to political power. This is not to say that the novel gives a cohesive idea of appropriate power. A more modest and defensible claim is that coercive power cannot, by itself, decide the question of moral validity. The disaster thus calls into question a notion of rule that sees the successful suppression of opposition as a mark of political accomplishment. Suppression of opposition can win dominance, but justice cannot be won independently.

7.4 Husain and the Moral Authority of Resistance

The novel's depiction of moral leadership revolves around Husain's character. Not to recognise the necessity of allegiance is to bring about a clash between morality and politics. The importance of this refusal is not only that it is a challenge, but that it is a matter of moral responsibility. Opposition does not automatically have legitimacy simply because it is opposed to an established power. The moral significance depends on the reasons it is realised and the ideas that motivate it.

Hossain interprets Husain's stubbornness as a refusal to let political pragmatism override ethical concerns. He believes that ethical principles should not necessarily be preferred to the protection of life, authority or political compromises. This leadership paradigm defies standard assumptions of political success. On the face of it, Husain looks to be a political failure if success is defined simply in terms of military victory or holding on to institutional power. But the story's moral structure invites another reading. The morality of an action is indifferent to its immediate consequences. This distinction matters for political philosophy: it separates the question of who wins from the question of who acts justly. That's political." The winning side is not the morally right side.

The topic of Husain's effect in the story is thus the question of congruence between belief and conduct. His leadership example is not merely a capacity to lead, but a link to ethical ideas.

But the understanding is not to necessarily totally idealise resistance. In analysing the morality of political dissent one must look at the intentions, means and results of the dissent. The virtue of the novel is that it allows us to make such a judgement, by showing the conflict when the moral conscience is most under duress.

7.5 Sacrifice and the Reassessment of Political Victory

The political importance of the Karbala narrative is furthered by the concept of sacrifice. Bishad Sindhu's portrayal of loss and agony challenges the idea of material wealth as the ultimate yardstick of political success. Selfless behaviour is not ethically valid in itself. Entities and movements can endure suffering for the sake of conflicting causes. Suffering does not make a political position right. Meanwhile, in the story, sacrifice takes on significance in relation to the ethical responsibilities that Husain and his followers are expected to uphold. The sad outcome shows the difference between temporary political success and permanent moral significance. The confrontation may be decided in the short term by the entity with more coercive power, but the narrative encourages the reader to evaluate the fight on the basis of elements beyond the basic financial victory. This distinction problematises utilitarian theories of political participation. When the maintenance of power is above all else, the human cost of achieving that goal may be ignored. With the story's focus on pain, such expenses are brought to the front of ethical considerations. Leadership is re-framed by the principle of sacrifice. The rewards a leader earns or the power he wields are not a true measure of his value. It should be judged, also, by the values professed and the obligations acknowledged. The calamity of Karbala is, in this sense, an indictment of political tactics when detached from moral goal. This account suggests that political victory and moral validity are distinct and that political victory does not necessarily entail moral legitimacy.

7.6 Justice as a Criterion of Legitimate Governance

The political analysis in this literature is grounded in justice. The story's tension between control and ethical resistance suggests that authority needs some measures of evaluation beyond the whims of the ruler. The ethical framework of Bishad Sindhu is philosophically and historically far from Rawls's (1999) theory of justice. But his emphasis on judging political institutions by reference to ideas of fairness is a useful yardstick. The book does not offer a complete philosophy of distributive justice, legal processes or institutional fairness. It is important in setting the political authority as subject to ethical evaluation. The decree of the authorities cannot properly justify the impositions on others. The interpretive approach states that justice involves realising that political actions impact the lives of people whose dignity is not erased by the interests of the powerful. The human side of the disaster is a test of judging political undertakings by their results as well as by their announced aims. The study also points to the limits of a strictly utilitarian approach to administration. Although they are important, the procedures used are not morally justified by administrative efficiency, political continuity or preservation of order. The ethics of the narrative, hence,

requires a government where justice is a criterion for judging authority and not just a secondary concern of political convenience.

7.7 Accountability and the Ethical Limits of Sovereignty

The fundamental basis for the creation of responsibility is the difference between political authority and ethical validity. If the sovereign's edict is not the last measure of right, authority must be open to moral scrutiny. Bishad Sindhu is not a model of contemporary accountability. It does not require parliamentary scrutiny, electoral contest, judicial scrutiny or separation of powers. They are embedded in particular histories of political evolution and cannot be ascribed to novelty without evidence. But the narrative's treatment of unquestioning loyalty influences the moral judgement of leadership. It undermines the concept that a ruler's political status exempts him from moral examination. In current political philosophy, accountability is a procedural device and a moral requirement.

Organisations can offer structures for the assessment of decisions, and ethical norms specify the criteria on which those decisions should be appraised. The second dimension is the subject of this book. The portrayal of political turmoil suggests that the exercise of power should be examined by standards that go beyond the sovereign's personal interests. The importance of this is heightened in connection with the individualisation of authority. If political authority is understood as a right to total obedience, the possibility of real responsibility is much diminished. Ethical restraint, by contrast, requires an understanding that authority increases one's responsibilities, not diminishes it. As the story demonstrates, therefore, optimal government necessitates the idea that power is not without moral boundaries. The legitimacy of political choices must be continuously reviewed in terms of fairness, accountability and human dignity.

7.8 Loyalty, Conscience, and Political Obligation

One of the most complicated political concepts given by the story is allegiance. Political bodies require a great variety of loyalties. But loyalty is a moral problem when it is pushed upon whatever the legality of the case. Wolff's (1990) examination of political obligation provides a theoretical framework for the investigation of the ethical basis for compliance. The question is not whether political power must be obeyed, but whether this requirement gives rise to a morally justified obligation. In Bishad Sindhu Husain's denial it is shown that political allegiance is fundamentally linked to moral conviction.

It may be ethically indefensible to comply with a request that is morally unacceptable, but resistance may be ethically significant. This stance does not mean that all disobedience is justified. The political opposition should be judged by the moral standards. The crucial distinction is between loyalty as blind devotion to a person, and loyalty as commitment to the ideals that make political leadership worthy of recognition. The moral fabric of the story stresses the possibility of autonomous ethical appraisal. The political authorities cannot just destroy moral conscience by demanding obedience. This notion holds true for contemporary challenges of public accountability and responsible citizenship. The political parties' institutional stability and commitment are not the same thing, and the latter is compromised when loyalty impairs the appraisal of political action. The play deals with the struggle between law and conscience. It argues that moral duty can be the ground on which to defend one's beliefs.

7.9 Religious Authority and the Political Interpretation of Karbala

The political import of the Bishad Sindhu cannot be separated from its spiritual and historical legacy. Karbala was linked to the conflicts over allegiance and authority in a culture where religious and political power were closely bound together. In a critical reading the tale should not be interpreted in terms of a naive modern dichotomy of religion vs politics. It should not assume that religious affiliation inherently confers political legitimacy. There is a need to study the milieu in which religious tenets, governmental systems and historical narratives interact. Hossain's account of Husain is an ethical challenge to the premise that formal claim to political power suffices for moral legitimacy. The controversy prompts the reader to consider leadership in terms of the ideals that undergird its execution. Religious memory is a route for the assessment of political behaviour. The story not only relates a story of a war, but gives it a moral system that teaches the reader to differentiate between authority and oppression. The political importance of this framework should not be confused with a complete philosophy of religious governance. There is no overall constitutional framework in Bishad Sindhu and no systematic discussion of the institutional interrelationship between religion and state. It is more profitably thought of, rather, as a moral inquiry into the conditions under which authority might claim recognition. The story contrasts political power with moral values and shows that we must always measure leadership against standards that go deeper than just official authority.

7.10 Tragedy as a Form of Political Criticism

The political value of Bishad Sindhu comes from its literary structure. Hossain's thesis is not merely theoretical. He makes political meaning through the use of narrative

dissonance, character development, emotional intensity and anguish. Tragedy is an effective vehicle for political criticism, as it highlights the human price of policies made in times of unrest. Political authority may speak of order, necessity, superiority; tragedy strips bare the burden of individuals and society. The story also subverts traditional ideas of success and failure. A civilisation may be materially affluent, but it may be morally corrupt. It may be ethically sound, but it may lead to political failure. The moral power of the story lies in this reversal of the normal political judgement.

It moves the attention away from the naked outcomes of conflict to the ideas, methods and consequences associated with political undertakings. Critical analysis should not replace the emotive elements of the narrative. A scholarly analysis will consider how the literary structure influences the ethical judgement and how the representations in the narrative correspond to the historical and political concepts used in the analysis. The tragic form is not merely a vehicle for emotional reaction. It is a perspective on the limits of political authority and the relation of power and accountability and of human suffering.

7.11 Colonial Bengal and the Politics of Historical Imagination

The publication of *Bishad Sindhu* in the late nineteenth century adds another dimension to its political reading. Hossain's works were created in a colonial milieu where literary expression, cultural identity and historical memory were being re-negotiated under changing social and intellectual conditions. A distant historical setting can offer opportunities for political reflection not necessarily in the form of direct criticism of contemporary institutions. Historical fiction allows authors to explore issues of power and freedom through characters and events that occur outside of the political climate of the author's time. The potentiality might be viewed in the light of Rahman's study of historical fiction during colonial government (Rahman, 2017). The political importance of *Bishad Sindhu* lies not only in the events it describes but also in the literary project of the recuperation and reinterpretation of historical memory for a Bengali reading public in the nineteenth century. This contextual claim must be made with caution. Without further textual and archival evidence, it would be methodologically unsound to conclude that every aspect of the novel was intended as a direct allegory of colonial government. Such a claim is not intrinsically supportable by the historical distance between Karbala and colonial Bengal. A more plausible explanation is that the political themes of the work may be more relevant in the colonial literary setting. It offered a narrative framework of the representation of power, defiance and ethical duty, within which readers may think

about the moral basis of leadership without the need for a direct correlation between previous sovereigns and contemporary political leaders. The relevance of the work thus lies, in part, in the capacity of historical imagination to make political ethics available for reflection in a variety of situations.

8. Ideal Governance: A Synthesis of the Text's Political Ethics

The above discussion describes the many ethical principles via which an ideal form of governance might be defined in relation to Bishad Sindhu. It is not necessary to view these ideas as a complete political program of Hossain. Rather, they are the normative repercussions of the novel's treatment of authority and ethical accountability.

Firstly, real governance is about equity. Political choices cannot be justified on the basis of the wants of the sovereign or the short-term objectives of government. The right to rule should be judged by moral standards that are higher than personal ambitions.

Second, moderation is the key to responsible leadership. The power of enforcement of decisions does not imply unlimited capacity of action. Political authority is morally questionable when it seeks obedience as its primary purpose, and ignores the human consequences of its means.

Third, governance should be transparent to ethical responsibilities. While the novel does not support the institutional structures of the present day, its investigation of unswerving loyalty challenges the idea that political rank makes leaders immune from moral scrutiny.

Fourth, loyalty is not the same as mindless obedience. Being committed to political organisations and societies does not mean that one has to abandon one's moral convictions. Resistance is depicted in the tale in a way that argues that political devotion has moral repercussions and may therefore be judged by principles.

First, in judging leadership, the human consequences of political decisions should be crucial. The tragedy of Karbala shows that one cannot judge the conduct of political actors just by the success of their military operations, or the stability of their institutions, or the retention of power.

Judging resistance ethically, then, involves examining what it attempts to do and how it attempts to do it. The novel's ethical representation of Husain is not to argue that dissent is valid in itself. It argues that when political resistance is connected to justice and ethical accountability, it is given moral weight.

These principles offer an ethical framework rather than a formal constitution. They can be valuable to contemporary discussions of governance when they are deployed alongside—not in instead of—investigations of legal institutions, civic involvement, and bureaucratic accountability.

9. Limitations and Directions for Further Research

The narrative is limited to its ethical and political dimensions in the present study. A full account would benefit from a comparative examination of many versions of *Bishad Sindhu*, including a detailed discussion of the original Bengali text and reliable translations into English. Future research should examine the novel's reception by Bengali readers in the nineteenth century, its relationship to the intellectual debates among Bengali Muslims at the time, and the transformation of the novel's depiction of Karbala in later literary and cultural contexts. A comparative study might also examine representations of political power and ethical defiance in other Bengali historical narratives. This study will assess whether the ethical paradigms identified here are specific to *Bishad Sindhu* or whether they are representative of a larger literary discourse on governance and historical memory. Also in future studies more attention might be paid to the gender, family dynamics and vulnerability aspects of the story. This would extend the political study beyond official authority and male domination to the effects of political strife on individuals whose experiences are often marginalised in narratives of state power. Ultimately, archival research and reception studies may decide how far historical readers took the work as a comment on the political atmosphere of their own day. Such data would strengthen any case for the relationship between the story's historical setting and its prospective implications for imperial Bengal.

10. Conclusion

The research examined the *Bishad Sindhu* of Mir Mosharraf Hossain as a literary inquiry of political power, just rule and the moral basis of leadership. By re-staging the disaster of Karbala the novel provides a narrative context for the examination of the dynamics of political ambition, coercion, allegiance, justice and moral resistance. As the inquiry indicates, the political value of the story consists in the difference between holding power and utilising it rightly. Within Hossain's ethical framework,

the representation of Yazid confronts the political authority with its separation from moral responsibility in the expectation of compliance. The rebellion led by Husain is an example of paradigm of ethical leadership where political correctness does not always take precedence over moral ideals. Different parts of this dilemma are clarified with the help of the theoretical frameworks of Weber, Arendt and Foucault and with political concepts of justice. Weber's differentiation between authority and legitimacy. Arendt's assertion of the limits of force. Foucault's stress on the relational character of power. Ideas of justice and civic responsibility which offer a framework for examining the moral foundations of power. These viewpoints are analytical instruments and not presumed effects on Hossain. The study also reveals that the idea of optimum governance as understood from Bishad Sindhu is essentially ethical in nature rather than institutional. Criteria for judging leadership are justice, temperance, accountability and respect for human dignity. But the novel does not offer a whole theory of contemporary constitutional administration. His contribution should be read as a critique of arbitrary authority in contemporary society rather than a vindication of the existing political system. The literary atmosphere of the colonial period is also important. Hossain built a narrative structure in Bengali to present a struggle remote in history, where questions of power, freedom, and moral obligation can have resonance beyond the combat shown. But the text's capacity to connect historical memory with ethical thought is obvious, even if further analysis from historical and reception perspectives is required about the political implications of this process. Bishad Sindhu ultimately shows how literature may challenge the moral grounds of political life. The presentation of Karbala is a denial of the idea that righteousness equals victory and power equals blind obedience. This book asks us to judge authority by the values it expresses, the commitments it makes, and the human consequences of its application. This remains relevant to the ethical dilemma: political authority might demand compliance, but legitimate rule demands a plausible relationship between authority and fairness. Hossain's narrative provides an important issue for interdisciplinary research in Bengali literature, political morality, historical remembering and governance that contrasts the ethical standards with the authority of the state.

References

Arendt, H. (1970) *On Violence*. New York: Harcourt, Brace & World.

Berlin, I. (1969) *Four Essays on Liberty*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Foucault, M. (1980) *Power/Knowledge: Selected Interviews and Other Writings, 1972–1977*. Edited by C. Gordon. New York: Pantheon Books.

Hossain, M.M. (1885–1891) *Bishad Sindhu*. Originally published in three parts. The edition consulted should be identified in the final manuscript.

Lukács, G. (1983) *The Historical Novel*. Translated by H. and S. Mitchell. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.

Rahman, M.M. (2017) *Colonial Birth, Nationalist Growth: Influence of the Colonial Rule and Education System on the Novels of India and Bangladesh*. Doctoral dissertation, Osaka University.

Rawls, J. (1999) *A Theory of Justice*. Revised edn. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Weber, M. (1978) *Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretive Sociology*. Edited by G. Roth and C. Wittich. Berkeley: University of California Press.

White, H. (1973) *Metahistory: The Historical Imagination in Nineteenth-Century Europe*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

Wolff, R.P. (1990) *In Defense of Anarchism*. Expanded edn. Berkeley: University of California Press.