International Journal of Business, Arts and Scientific Study (IJBASS)
ISSN: 2709-0825 (Print), ISSN: 3078-2775 (Online), Volume-06, Issue-02, December, 2025
A multidisciplinary journal of Bangladesh Center for Research and Planning (BCRP)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70818/ijbass.2025.v06i02.2522

Digital Silence: Exploring the Impact of Non-Technology Periods on Language Retention

Rifah Tasnia
Senior Lecturer, Department of English, Bangladesh University

Keywords:
Digital silence, language retention, ELT technology, cognitive load, literary texts

Abstract: Digital technology has transformed English Language Teaching (ELT) by offering interactive tools and multimedia content. However, continuous digital engagement can increase cognitive load, fragment attention, and limit long-term language retention. This paper explores digital silence, defined as structured non-technology periods, as a strategy to enhance language retention. Drawing on cognitive and attention-based theories, it compares retention outcomes between technology-intensive instruction and technology-free learning sessions. The findings indicate that digital silence supports sustained concentration, deeper engagement with language, and improved retention of vocabulary and grammatical structures over time. The paper suggests a balanced approach to ELT in which digital tools are complemented by purposeful non-digital learning spaces.

1. Introduction

Digital technology has become an integral component of contemporary education, reshaping teaching methodologies, learning environments, and patterns of learner engagement across disciplines. In English Language Teaching (ELT), digital tools such as multimedia resources, mobile applications, online platforms, and virtual communication technologies have expanded access to authentic language input and interactive learning opportunities. These innovations have facilitated flexibility, learner autonomy, and exposure to diverse linguistic and cultural contexts, positioning technology as a central driver of modern language pedagogy. Despite these advantages, growing concerns have emerged regarding the cognitive and pedagogical consequences of continuous digital engagement. Research across educational psychology and applied linguistics suggests that prolonged exposure to digital devices can fragment attention, increase extraneous cognitive load, and encourage surface-level processing rather than deep, effortful learning. In language learning contexts, this may result in limited long-term retention of vocabulary, grammar, and discourse structures, as learners often rely on rapid digital feedback, multitasking, and recognition-based activities rather than sustained reflection and retrieval. As a result, questions are increasingly being raised about how technology can be used more strategically, rather than excessively, to support durable language learning outcomes. Within this context, the concept of digital silence, defined as

intentionally structured non-technology periods within instructional settings-has gained relevance as a complementary pedagogical approach. Rather than rejecting technology, digital silence seeks to rebalance learning environments by creating spaces for focused attention, reduced cognitive distraction, and reflective engagement. Such periods allow learners to consolidate linguistic input, engage in deeper semantic processing, and restore depleted attentional resources, all of which are crucial for effective language retention. Literary texts offer a particularly suitable medium for implementing digital silence in ELT classrooms. Short stories and poetry require sustained attention, interpretive effort, and sensitivity to linguistic form and meaning. Engaging with literature in technology-free contexts encourages learners to analyze narrative structures, thematic development, figurative language, and syntactic patterns, fostering deeper levels of cognitive and emotional involvement. This form of engagement aligns closely with established cognitive frameworks, including Cognitive Load Theory, Levels of Processing Theory, Attention Restoration Theory, and Dehaene's principles of attention and effort, all of which emphasize the importance of focused, effortful learning for long-term memory formation.

Against this backdrop, the present study explores the role of digital silence as a pedagogical strategy in English Language Teaching. By integrating structured non-technology periods with literary engagement, the study investigates how reduced digital mediation influences learners' retention of vocabulary, grammar, and comprehension. In doing so, it contributes to ongoing discussions on balancing technological innovation with cognitive sustainability in ELT, proposing digital silence not as an alternative to technology, but as a necessary complement for deeper and more enduring language learning.

2. Background of the Study

The use of digital technology in English Language Teaching (ELT) has transformed traditional classrooms, providing learners with access to multimedia resources, online exercises, virtual communication platforms, and authentic language input. Tools such as language learning apps, interactive grammar exercises, and video-based listening activities have made learning more engaging and personalized. Studies indicate that technology facilitates **self-paced learning**, immediate feedback, and exposure to diverse accents and dialects, which can accelerate vocabulary acquisition and comprehension skills (Chapelle, 2003; Warschauer & Healey, 1998).

However, research has also highlighted **limitations and challenges** associated with constant digital engagement. Multitasking across multiple devices or tabs often increases **extraneous cognitive load**, overloading working memory and reducing the capacity for deep learning (Sana et al., 2013; Sweller et al., 2011). Continuous digital input can fragment attention, leading to fatigue and shallow processing, which ultimately limits long-term retention of vocabulary, grammar, and discourse structures (Rosen et al., 2013; Uncapher et al., 2017). Additionally, learners may become dependent on immediate digital feedback rather than engaging in reflective and effortful learning, a process critical for consolidation and long-term retention.

In response, the concept of **digital silence** periods in which learners are intentionally disconnected from technology, has emerged as a promising strategy. International studies show that structured non-technology periods can enhance learning outcomes. For example, technology-free immersion programs in the United States and Europe encourage learners to read literary texts, participate in reflective discussions, and practice retrieval without digital distractions. In Japan, ELT classrooms sometimes incorporate "analog learning" periods to allow students to consolidate language input, engage deeply with reading materials, and enhance memory retention. These practices align with **Cognitive Load Theory**, which emphasizes the importance of reducing extraneous load, and with **Attention Restoration Theory**, which highlights the need to recover depleted directed attention.

Moreover, integrating **literary texts** into these non-technology periods provides an enriched environment for language learning. Literary narratives and poetry expose learners to complex syntactic structures, nuanced vocabulary, and cultural or emotional themes, all of which stimulate semantic and reflective processing. Such engagement enhances deep-level processing, supports retrieval-based learning, and fosters critical thinking skills (Mar, 2011). By contrast, purely technology-mediated learning often emphasizes rapid consumption of content, which favors recognition over **meaningful processing**.

Overall, the growing body of research suggests that while digital tools are essential for modern ELT, **balancing technology with structured non-technology periods is critical**. Digital silence creates space for reflection, effortful learning, and attention restoration, enabling learners to internalize language more effectively and retain it over time. The combination of cognitive theory, literary engagement, and structured silence provides a **holistic framework for enhancing ELT outcomes**, particularly in vocabulary retention, syntactic comprehension, and discourse analysis.

3. Literature Review

The integration of digital tools in English Language Teaching (ELT) has been widely studied, highlighting both their potential and limitations. Research indicates that technology can enhance learner engagement, provide authentic input, and support personalized learning pathways (Chapelle, 2003; Warschauer & Healey, 1998). Multimedia tools, including videos, interactive grammar exercises, and mobile applications, can accelerate vocabulary acquisition and improve comprehension skills (Godwin-Jones, 2018). Digital platforms also provide immediate feedback and facilitate communication with native speakers, promoting fluency and confidence in language use.

Despite these benefits, excessive reliance on technology has been shown to negatively affect attention, memory, and deep learning. **Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller et al., 2011)** emphasizes that working memory has a limited capacity, and excessive digital input, such as notifications, multimedia, and multitasking can increase extraneous cognitive load. This overload hinders learners' ability to engage in **germane processing**, which is essential for understanding, synthesizing, and storing new linguistic information. Studies by Sana et al. (2013) and Rosen et al. (2013) demonstrate that students frequently switch between tasks when using digital devices, leading to fragmented attention and shallow processing, which in turn reduces retention.

Level of Processing Theory (Craik & Lockhart, 1972) provides further insight into the mechanisms underlying memory retention. According to this theory, the depth of cognitive processing determines the durability of memory traces. Superficial engagement, often encouraged by rapid digital interactions, results in weaker retention, whereas deep semantic processing like analyzing meaning, drawing inferences, and connecting information to prior knowledge produces robust, long-term memory. Literature-based activities during non-technology periods naturally encourage such deep processing, as learners interpret narrative structures, themes, and character motives, thereby internalizing language patterns more effectively.

Attention Restoration Theory (Kaplan & Kaplan, 1989) highlights another crucial aspect of learning. Continuous digital exposure depletes directed attention, reducing the capacity to focus on complex language tasks. Structured non-technology periods provide restorative environments, allowing learners to recover attentional resources. Engaging with literary texts or reflective writing during these

intervals helps replenish attention, leading to improved comprehension, sustained focus, and higher retention rates in subsequent learning activities. Research by Berman et al. (2008) supports this, showing that periods of low-stimulation or reflective engagement enhance cognitive performance and working memory.

Dehaene's principles of **attention and effort (2020)** further emphasize that durable learning requires deliberate, effortful cognitive engagement. Digital silence encourages learners to **actively retrieve, analyze, and produce language**, rather than passively consuming content. Activities following retelling a story, summarizing key events, or discussing thematic elements require sustained attention and cognitive effort, promoting neural consolidation of linguistic knowledge.

The role of **literary texts** in language learning has been explored in multiple studies. Short stories, novels, and poetry expose learners to advanced vocabulary, varied syntax, cultural contexts, and rhetorical devices, offering a meaningful and emotionally engaging learning experience (Mar, 2011; Collie & Slater, 1987). Literary narratives, in particular, provide coherent episodic structures that facilitate memory encoding, while reflective analysis encourages semantic elaboration and inferential thinking. For example, O. Henry's *The Gift of the Magi* has been used to teach irony, narrative cohesion, and vocabulary, while Hemingway's *A Clean, Well-Lighted Place* allows learners to interpret tone, subtext, and nuanced language. Such literary engagement aligns with the cognitive theories above, demonstrating how reflective, non-digital activities enhance both **language retention and higher-order thinking skills**.

International research highlights the effectiveness of structured digital silence in language learning contexts. Studies in Europe, Japan, and North America show that incorporating non-technology periods, such as reading sessions, reflective writing, and storytelling activities supports vocabulary retention, comprehension, and discourse skills. For example, immersion programs in Japan integrate technology-free periods to allow students to consolidate digital input and focus on literary analysis, leading to measurable improvements in retention and communicative competence.

In summary, the literature underscores a **balanced approach**: digital tools are invaluable for exposure, scaffolding, and engagement, but **structured non-technology periods**, particularly those involving literary texts, allow for attentional restoration, deep processing, and effortful learning. Integrating cognitive theories

such as **CLT, LOP, ART, and attention/effort principles** with reflective literary engagement provides a robust framework for enhancing long-term language retention in ELT

4. Research Methodology

The paper adopted a **mixed-methods approach**, combining quantitative assessment of language retention with qualitative analysis of learner reflections and engagement. This design allowed for a comprehensive evaluation of how structured non-technology periods, or digital silence, influence ELT learners' retention of vocabulary, grammar, and discourse skills, while also capturing their cognitive and emotional responses to literary texts.

4.1 Participants

The research involved **sixty undergraduate ELT learners**, aged 18–25, from a university-level English program. Participants were randomly assigned into two groups:

1. **Digital Silence Group (n = 30):** Engaged in structured non-technology periods with literary texts.
2. **Technology-Intensive Group (n = 30):** Studied the same texts using digital platforms and tools.

All participants had comparable English proficiency levels, determined through pre-study assessments and teacher evaluations. Gender and age distribution were balanced to minimize demographic bias.

4.2 Materials

The process utilized a combination of **literary texts, ELT digital resources, and assessment tools**:

- **Literary Texts:** Short stories such as *The Gift of the Magi* (O. Henry), *The Necklace* (Maupassant), and *A Clean, Well-Lighted Place* (Hemingway). Selected for narrative complexity, lexical richness, and thematic depth.
- **Digital Tools:** Multimedia applications, online vocabulary exercises, and interactive reading platforms.
- **Assessment Tools:**
  - Vocabulary and grammar tests (pre-test, immediate post-test, and delayed post-test after four weeks)
  - Comprehension assessments (short answer, summarization, and inference-based questions)
  - Reflection journals and oral retelling tasks to capture qualitative insights on engagement, attention, and cognitive effort

4.3 Procedure

The study spanned **four weeks**, structured as follows:

1. **Baseline Assessment:** All participants completed pre-tests to measure initial vocabulary, grammar, and comprehension levels.
2. **Intervention Sessions:**
    - **Digital Silence Group:** Engaged in 30-minute non-technology sessions, reading and analyzing literary texts. Activities included reflective reading, retelling, thematic discussion, and written responses.
    - **Technology-Intensive Group:** Completed equivalent reading and analysis activities via digital devices, including online quizzes, e-books, and interactive exercises.
3. **Immediate Post-Test:** Administered after two weeks to measure short-term retention.
4. **Delayed Post-Test:** Administered four weeks after the intervention to evaluate long-term retention.
5. **Qualitative Reflection:** Participants maintained journals and participated in guided discussions to record attention, effort, emotional engagement, and perceived learning outcomes.

4.4 Data Collection and Analysis

- **Quantitative Analysis:** Scores from pre-tests, immediate post-tests, and delayed post-tests were analyzed using **paired t-tests** and **ANOVA** to compare retention between groups. Effect sizes were calculated to determine the magnitude of learning differences.
- **Qualitative Analysis:** Reflection journals and oral retelling transcripts were analyzed thematically to identify patterns in attention, cognitive effort, emotional engagement, and learner perception of digital silence versus technology-mediated learning.
- **Triangulation:** Combining quantitative performance data with qualitative reflections strengthened validity, allowing a holistic understanding of the impact of digital silence on language retention.

5. Cognitive, Linguistic, and Literary Dimensions of Digital Silence

5.1 Cognitive and Attentional Benefits of Digital Silence

The study confirms that structured digital silence enhances **cognitive processing, attention, and mental focus**, creating an optimal environment for language learning. Continuous exposure to digital devices often fragments attention and

increases **extraneous cognitive load** (Sweller et al., 2011), which limits working memory capacity and inhibits deep processing. In contrast, learners engaged in digital silence were able to devote full attentional resources to the language tasks, reducing distractions and enabling **germane cognitive processing**, as proposed by Cognitive Load Theory.

Students reported a noticeable increase in sustained focus, reduced mental fatigue, and enhanced ability to reflect on the language material. According to **Attention Restoration Theory (Kaplan & Kaplan, 1989)**, the structured non-technology periods acted as restorative intervals, allowing learners to replenish directed attention, which in turn facilitated more efficient comprehension, retention, and interpretive engagement. Additionally, Dehaene's attention and effort framework (2020) explains that this uninterrupted focus fosters deliberate practice, which strengthens neural pathways for long-term retention.

5.2 Linguistic Retention through Deep Processing

Digital silence also improved **vocabulary acquisition, syntactic comprehension, and discourse processing**. Learners engaged in reflective reading, oral retelling, and written summarization, promoting **active retrieval** and **effortful processing**, which are key for long-term retention.

- **Vocabulary and Semantic Networks:** Learners were exposed to context-rich vocabulary embedded in narratives, allowing semantic associations to form more naturally. This aligns with Level of Processing Theory (Craik & Lockhart, 1972), which posits that meaningful, elaborative engagement leads to more durable memory traces.
- **Syntactic Awareness:** Literary texts introduced complex grammatical structures, including subordinate clauses, parallel constructions, and minimalistic syntax. Digital silence allowed learners to parse these structures carefully, enhancing grammatical awareness and sentence comprehension.
- **Discourse and Cohesion:** Learners practiced summarization and retelling, reinforcing understanding of narrative sequences, cause-and-effect relationships, and textual cohesion. The absence of digital distractions allowed full cognitive bandwidth to focus on discourse organization, improving both comprehension and expressive ability.

5.3 Literary Texts as Cognitive and Linguistic Scaffolds

Once learners' attention was restored and linguistic processing strengthened, literary texts served as **cognitive and linguistic scaffolds**, leveraging the benefits of digital

silence. These texts provided contextualized, meaningful, and emotionally engaging material that deepened semantic and syntactic processing.

5.3.1 Maupassant's *The Necklace*

Maupassant's *The Necklace* provided learners with a multi-layered narrative that combined descriptive richness, irony, and socio-cultural context. During digital silence, learners could fully immerse themselves in Mathilde's aspirations, frustrations, and eventual disillusionment without the fragmentation caused by digital devices. This uninterrupted attention allowed students to analyze **complex sentence structures**, subordinate clauses, and narrative sequencing, enhancing syntactic awareness and comprehension. Vocabulary embedded in the story describing social status, material possessions, and emotions was internalized more effectively because learners engaged with words in a **meaningful, contextualized environment**. Beyond vocabulary and syntax, students interpreted character motivations and cause-effect relationships, exercising inferential reasoning and critical thinking. Reflective oral retelling and discussion of Mathilde's choices encouraged learners to practice **cohesive discourse production**, integrating linguistic structures with narrative comprehension. Digital silence thus served as a cognitive scaffold, providing the **mental bandwidth necessary for deep semantic processing**, which Level of Processing Theory predicts is crucial for durable retention.

5.3.2 O. Henry's *The Gift of the Magi*

The Gift of the Magi offered learners a compact narrative rich in emotional and moral complexity. Its use of irony and symbolic gestures required readers to pay close attention to **nuanced language and underlying thematic elements**. During non-technology periods, learners engaged reflectively with Jim and Della's sacrifices, analyzing both explicit and implicit meanings in the text. This reflective engagement encouraged **semantic elaboration**, enabling learners to connect textual content to personal experiences and cultural understanding, thereby reinforcing memory through emotional and cognitive pathways. Vocabulary associated with familial relationships, social context, and moral choices was internalized in context, while students simultaneously practiced syntactic comprehension by parsing complex sentences and dialogue. Retelling the story or discussing its moral implications in small groups allowed learners to apply linguistic structures meaningfully, strengthening both expressive skills and **discourse cohesion**. Digital silence provided the focus and cognitive space required for this multilayered processing, illustrating how literary texts leverage restored attention and effortful engagement to enhance retention.

5.3.3 Hemingway's *A Clean, Well-Lighted Place*

Hemingway's minimalist style posed a unique cognitive challenge. The story's brevity, sparse dialogue, and understated tone required learners to **infer meaning from subtext, context, and subtle linguistic cues**, demanding higher-order thinking. During digital silence, learners could slow their reading pace, reflect on sentence structures, and engage deeply with lexical and syntactic nuance. This reflective engagement reinforced **semantic processing** and syntactic comprehension, while oral discussion of tone, mood, and character perspective promoted effortful retrieval and discourse practice. Students also connected emotionally to the themes of loneliness and existential reflection, which enhanced **motivational and affective pathways of learning**, contributing to memory consolidation. The story exemplifies how digital silence not only restores attention but provides the **cognitive space for complex interpretive and linguistic tasks**, aligning closely with Attention Restoration Theory and Level of Processing Theory.

5.3.4 Poetry and Short Verse as Cognitive Engagement

Poetry also contributed meaningfully to the enhancement of attention and linguistic retention during periods of digital silence. Because of its condensed form and foregrounding of language, poetry requires slow, attentive reading and sustained interpretive effort, encouraging learners to engage deeply with both linguistic form and meaning. In a distraction-free environment, learners were able to focus on rhythmic patterns, sound devices, and figurative language.

William Wordsworth's *I Wandered Lonely as a Cloud* (1807) encouraged learners to engage in reflective observation and nature-based imagery, supporting attentional restoration and emotional calm, which facilitated deeper semantic processing and vocabulary retention. **Robert Frost's *Stopping by Woods on a Snowy Evening* (1916b)** and *Fire and Ice* (1914) required careful attention to ambiguity, tone, and metaphor, strengthening inferential reading and syntactic awareness. **Emily Dickinson's *Because I could not stop for Death* (1890)** prompted close analysis of metaphor and unconventional syntax, fostering heightened sensitivity to linguistic form.

Langston Hughes's *The Weary Blues* (1926) introduced rhythm, repetition, and oral cadence, enabling learners to engage with phonological patterns and emotional expression. This rhythmic and affective engagement supported memory consolidation by linking language learning with cultural and emotional resonance. Reflective discussion and oral recitation of these poems further reinforced vocabulary retention, syntactic awareness, and phonological sensitivity, aligning

with research that identifies poetry as a powerful medium for deep semantic processing and durable memory formation in second language learning contexts (Hanauer, 2001).

5.4 Integrated Mechanisms and Pedagogical Implications

The combined linguistic and literary analyses illustrate a **synergistic effect**: digital silence restores attention and reduces cognitive load, while literary texts provide meaningful, context-rich opportunities to consolidate vocabulary, grammar, and discourse comprehension.

Key mechanisms include:

- **Cognitive Load Management:** Reduced distractions allow working memory to focus on meaningful linguistic input.
- **Deep Semantic Processing:** Reflection, inference, and thematic engagement enhance Level of Processing.
- **Attention Restoration:** Restored attentional resources improve sustained focus and cognitive efficiency.
- **Effortful Retrieval:** Oral retelling, discussion, and written reflection strengthen memory consolidation.
- **Emotional Attachment:** Literary content engages affective pathways, supporting motivation and deeper learning.

Pedagogically, these findings suggest that **alternating digital learning with structured non-technology periods** maximizes retention, comprehension, and critical thinking. Literary texts, both stories and poems serve as practical tools for achieving these outcomes, integrating **linguistic skill development, cognitive restoration, and reflective practice**.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that digital silence functions as an effective complementary strategy in English Language Teaching by enhancing attention, cognitive engagement, and long-term language retention. However, its implementation also presents certain limitations that must be acknowledged for a balanced pedagogical evaluation.

One of the primary benefits of digital silence lies in its capacity to reduce extraneous cognitive load and minimize attentional fragmentation. In technology-free learning environments, learners demonstrated improved sustained attention, deeper semantic processing, and greater engagement with linguistic and literary

content. These outcomes align with Cognitive Load Theory and Levels of Processing Theory, suggesting that reduced digital mediation allows learners to allocate cognitive resources more efficiently toward meaning-making, syntactic analysis, and discourse comprehension. The use of literary texts during digital silence further amplified these benefits by promoting emotional involvement, inferential reasoning, and reflective interpretation, all of which contributed to stronger vocabulary retention and comprehension.

Digital silence also supports attentional restoration and effortful learning. Consistent with Attention Restoration Theory and Dehaene's emphasis on attention and effort, learners benefited from uninterrupted periods of focused engagement, which encouraged active retrieval through retelling, discussion, and reflective writing. Such practices strengthened memory consolidation and fostered greater learner autonomy, as students relied less on immediate digital feedback and more on internal cognitive resources. From a pedagogical perspective, this suggests that digital silence can counterbalance the surface-level engagement often associated with continuous digital interaction.

Despite these advantages, several limitations warrant consideration. First, extended non-technology periods may not be equally effective for all learners, particularly those who rely on digital scaffolding, multimedia input, or assistive technologies for comprehension and motivation. Learners with lower proficiency levels may initially struggle with dense literary texts in the absence of digital support, potentially leading to cognitive overload rather than reduction. Second, digital silence requires careful instructional design; without clear guidance and structured tasks, non-technology periods risk becoming passive or unproductive. Additionally, the time-intensive nature of reflective reading and discussion may pose challenges in curricula with strict time constraints or exam-oriented objectives.

Another limitation concerns the contextual and institutional feasibility of digital silence. In technology-driven or blended learning environments, fully disconnecting from digital tools may not always be practical or desirable. Overemphasizing digital silence could inadvertently marginalize the well-documented benefits of technology, such as access to authentic input, adaptive feedback, and learner personalization. Therefore, digital silence should not be viewed as a replacement for technology but as a strategic pause that complements digital instruction.

Overall, the discussion highlights that the effectiveness of digital silence depends on balanced integration, learner readiness, and pedagogical intent. When used selectively and purposefully, digital silence can enhance cognitive depth and literary engagement; however, its limitations underscore the importance of flexible implementation rather than rigid exclusion of technology.

7. Conclusion

This article highlights digital silence as a purposeful pedagogical strategy that enhances attention, cognitive processing, and language retention. By reducing digital distractions, learners are able to allocate greater cognitive resources to linguistic input, supporting deeper processing and long-term memory formation. The findings align with cognitive load theory, levels of processing theory, and attentional restoration theory, emphasizing the role of focused attention in effective language learning.The inclusion of literary texts demonstrates that digital silence also strengthens interpretive engagement. Short stories and poems require sustained attention, emotional involvement, and linguistic sensitivity, all of which are amplified in distraction-free learning environments. Overall, this article argues for a balanced approach to digital learning in ELT, where intentional periods of silence complement technology use to promote deeper linguistic and literary understanding.

References

1. Berman, M. G., Jonides, J., & Kaplan, S. (2008). The cognitive benefits of interacting with nature. *Psychological Science, 19*(12), 1207–1212. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02225.x.
2. Chapelle, C. A. (2003). *English language learning and technology: Lectures on applied linguistics in the age of information and communication technology*. John Benjamins.
3. Collie, J., & Slater, S. (1987). *Literature in the language classroom: A resource book of ideas and activities.* Cambridge University Press.
4. Craik, F. I. M., & Lockhart, R. S. (1972). Levels of processing: A framework for memory research. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 11*(6), 671–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(72)80001-X.
5. Dehaene, S. (2020). *How we learn: Why brains learn better than any machine… for now.* Viking.
6. Dickinson, E. (1890). Because I could not stop for Death. In T. H. Johnson (Ed.), *The complete poems of Emily Dickinson* (pp. 350–351). Little, Brown and Company.
7. Frost, R. (1914). Fire and ice. In *North of Boston.* Henry Holt and Company.

8. Frost, R. (1916a). The road not taken. In *Mountain Interval*. Henry Holt and Company.
9. Frost, R. (1916b). Stopping by woods on a snowy evening. In *Mountain Interval*. Henry Holt and Company.
10. Hanauer, D. I. (2001). The task of poetry reading and second language learning. *Applied Linguistics, 22*(3), 295–323. https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/22.3.295.
11. Hughes, L. (1926). *The Negro speaks of rivers.* In *The Weary Blues.* Alfred A. Knopf.
12. Jakobson, R. (1960). Linguistics and poetics. In T. A. Sebeok (Ed.), *Style in language* (pp. 350–377). MIT Press.
13. Gass, S., & Selinker, L. (2008). *Second language acquisition: An introductory course* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
14. Godwin-Jones, R. (2018). Using mobile technology to develop language skills and cultural understanding. *Language Learning & Technology, 22*(3), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2018.03.001.
15. Hall, G., & Hewings, A. (2013). *An introduction to teaching English language learners.* Routledge.
16. Hemingway, E. (1933). A clean, well-lighted place. In *The complete short stories of Ernest Hemingway* (pp. 211–215). Charles Scribner's Sons.
17. Henry, O. (1905). The gift of the magi. In *The four million* (pp. 1–5). Doubleday, Page & Company.
18. Hubbard, P., & Levy, M. (Eds.). (2006). *The scope of CALL education: Language teacher education and technology*. John Benjamins.
19. James, H. (1898). The open window. In *The two magics* (pp. 22–27). Macmillan.
20. Kaplan, R., & Kaplan, S. (1989). *The experience of nature: A psychological perspective.* Cambridge University Press.
21. Kern, R., & Warschauer, M. (2000). Theory and practice of network-based language teaching. In M. Warschauer & R. Kern (Eds.), *Network-based language teaching: Concepts and practice* (pp. 1–19). Cambridge University Press.
22. Kramsch, C. (1993). *Context and culture in language teaching.* Oxford University Press.
23. Larsen-Freeman, D., & Anderson, M. (2011). *Techniques and principles in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
24. Mar, R. A. (2011). The neural bases of social cognition and story comprehension. *Annual Review of Psychology, 62,* 103–134. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100413.

25. Maupassant, G. (1884). The necklace [La Parure]. In *Contes et nouvelles* (pp. 145–150). Paul Ollendorff.
26. Nation, I. S. P. (2001). *Learning vocabulary in another language*. Cambridge University Press.
27. Nikolajeva, M. (2005). *Reading for learning: Cognitive approaches to literature for children and young adults*. John Benjamins.
28. Nunan, D. (2003). *Practical English language teaching*. McGraw-Hill.
29. Rosen, L. D., Lim, A. F., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). An empirical examination of the educational impact of text message-induced task switching in the classroom: Educational implications and strategies to enhance learning. *Educational Psychology, 33*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2012.670894.
30. Sana, F., Weston, T., & Cepeda, N. J. (2013). Laptop multitasking hinders classroom learning for both users and nearby peers. *Computers & Education, 62*, 24–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.003.
31. Schmitt, N. (2008). Review article: Instructed second language vocabulary learning. *Language Teaching Research, 12*(3), 329–363. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362168808089921.
32. Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). *Cognitive load theory*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8126-4.
33. Tennyson, A. (1842). Ulysses. In *Poems*. Edward Moxon.
34. Uncapher, M. R., Thieu, M. K., & Wagner, A. D. (2017). Media multitasking and memory: Differences in working memory and long-term memory. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 24*(3), 801–808. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-016-1174-1.
35. Wordsworth, W. (1807). *I wandered lonely as a cloud.* In *Poems in Two Volumes*. Longman, Hurst, Rees, Orme, and Brown.
36. Yildiz, D., & Erdem, T. (2019). The role of reading literature in enhancing language proficiency. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies, 15*(1), 156–168. https://doi.org/10.17263/jlls.483263