Public Health during the Global Pandemic Covid-19: Intervening, Perceiving and Incorporating

Dr. S. Quadir Patwari
Adjunct Faculty, Dhaka International University

Keywords:

Public health**,** COVID-19, Challenge, Leadership, Health sectors, Non-health sectors

Abstract: In the current context of the global pandemic of Corona virus disease-2019 (COVID- 19), Health Professionals or Public Health Workers are striving along with social scientists to inform government policy on dos and don'ts about Corona virus. Various elements of Public Health contribute a lot to the problems identified in rural areas and city areas including a declining population; economic stagnation; shortages of physicians and other health care providers; a disproportionate number of older, poor, and underinsured residents; and high rates of chronic illness (WHO, 2005a). This study demonstrates the challenges faced by rural communities in addressing COVID-19 and the role of Public Health to overcome this situation.

Introduction

Public Health is regularly called the invisible profession since individuals don't hear much about it until fiasco strikes. Public health unexpectedly turned out to be profoundly noticeable with the COVID-19, novel Covid-19 pandemic. Most of the Public health professionals are on the front lines protecting people from COVID-19 (WHA, 2005). In any event, when there is anything but a general wellbeing crisis, Health experts are attempting to keep individuals solid with schooling advancing great sustenance, actual movement and convenient essential consideration. Public health attempts to debilitate unfortunate practices like smoking and e-cigarette use. Public health advances and gives protected, powerful antibodies to ensure kids and adults against infections, for example, flu, challenging hack and measles. Public health also works at the systemic and policy-front to "make the healthy choice, the easy choice (WHO, 2005b)." Detection of communicable disease is the key to preventing spread of infection in our community. Public health networks with local healthcare providers to track the incidence of COVID-19, influenza and other reportable diseases.

Methodology

To complete this research study, stimulation came from the study of present articles regarding Public Health activities in the COVID-19 situations. Good numbers of criticisms on this topic were studied to comprehend the context rigorously. The keen observation strategy was applied effectively for this study. By using this method, the researcher went through different literary articles, critical compositions, and websites to find interrelated study materials, in order to be acknowledged in the allied topics.

Background Information

Challenges to maintaining trust in public health early in the trajectory of COVID-19, different countries were almost uniform in their support for stay-at-home orders. Later, as the economic and social impact of self-quarantine, business, and school closures deepened, backlash began to increase. As recent protests against stay-at-home orders and other COVID-19-interventions reveal,

many people do not understand the breadth of government's duty to protect the public's health and welfare. To compound this challenge, while local, state, and federal governments have the authority to act strongly and swiftly in a public crisis, a countries passionate political and philosophical attachment to freedom and self-determination and their skepticism about government interference cannot be dismissed. "Life, freedom, and the quest for satisfaction" is in excess of a line in the declaration of independence—it mirrors a solid arrangement of public qualities that put forth the defense for activity that is all things considered based while regarding singular interests (Recommendations for Influenja, 2008). Although people have a deep-seated belief in individual freedoms, public health relies on collective action for success. Public health pioneers must comprehend this pressure and adequately articulate why and when aggregate activity is essential while additionally articulating a way to move from a uniform, state-forced crisis reaction to one that depends on mindful individual activities (WHO, 2009a).Unfortunately, public health in the world context has generally struggled to make a clear and compelling case for prevention and nonmedical approaches to health and well-being. As the saying goes, "Public health is invisible when it is most effective (WHO, 2009b)." Public health leaders are trained in epidemiology and other sciences, in community-based partnerships, and sometimes medicine. However, few public health leaders have been trained in advocacy communication.

Strategies to Strengthen Trust in Public Health
Government leaders and their partners can better balance the health, economic and other needs of the population if they effectively communicate the rationale and need for population-based public health interventions in ways that are based on communication science and are politically savvy. A civics lesson from public health officials about constitutional law and the role of police power in combating COVID-19 is not likely to be effective. However, sophisticated messaging tailored to different audiences about the government's role in protecting the health of everyone could be. While much is still unknown regarding COVID-19, the evidence is clear that non pharmaceutical interventions like self-quarantine and isolation, physical distancing, business and school closures, and other core public health strategies are effective in reducing community spread and can flatten the infectious-disease epidemiologic curve. Countries such as South Korea, New Zealand, Australia, and Germany— that have taken strong public-health approaches on social distancing and stay-at-home orders along with extensive testing and contact tracing—have demonstrated reduced rates of severe morbidity and mortality from COVID-19. Vietnam, a developing country of 96 million people that borders China, has reported zero death from COVID-19 because of both swift public health actions and strong communication strategies. Public health correspondence endeavors with respect to COVID-19 should be founded on danger and emergency correspondence science and on accepted procedures for social promoting that rallies individuals around shared qualities. For example, communications from Dr Acton have attempted to "inspire" rather than "order" people to physically isolate by appealing to widely shared core values. This includes acknowledging the hardships people are experiencing, emphasizing the important historic role that everyone is playing in their sacrifices, promoting determination rather than fear, and declaring that "not all heroes wear capes" (WHO, 2009c). Best practices in communication also include segmenting audiences for the design and testing of different communication approaches.
Public health leaders can also learn from the extensive research from other fields in how to build trust. Consumer product research emphasizes the importance of transparency in sharing known and unknown risks and admitting error when errors are made.
Engagement of the public in policy decision-making is also essential in situations of uncertainty. Since much is obscure about COVID-19, approach direction about moderation and avoidance methodologies has changed progressively. Changing messages on the significance of face covers is an illustration of the trust challenge for public health.

COVID-19 has also reaffirmed and illuminated that the public health and healthcare delivery systems are intertwined. Failure to "flatten the curve" results in an overrun healthcare system, enormous costs, and significant mortality (Paschal, 2020).However, public health efforts that successfully slow and limit community spread also produce significant financial losses for healthcare systems because the use of all types of no emergent care greatly decreases. Public health and medical services framework pioneers must accomplice in the key plan and support of messages to fabricate solid and enduring trust in the progressing general wellbeing intercessions and commands that will be with us for the unexpected future. At last, keeping up trust even with political assaults on our offices of public health requires the medical care network stand up in solidarity—embracing science-based proposals and supporting the CDC, the World Health Organization, and nearby general wellbeing (US Census Bureau, 2010).

Government Leadership

While all areas of society are engaged with pandemic readiness and reaction, the public government is the regular chief for generally speaking coordination and correspondence endeavors. In its position of authority, the government authority should: prescribe, regulate, and lead the organizing body for pandemic readiness and reaction; authorize or adjust enactment and policies needed to support and upgrade pandemic readiness, limit advancement, and reaction endeavors over all areas; organize and manage the allotment and focusing of assets to accomplish the objectives as laid out in a country's Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Plan; give extra assets to public pandemic readiness, limit development, and reaction quantifies; and consider giving assets and specialized help to nations encountering flare-ups of flu with pandemic potential.

Health Sector

The health sector (including public health and both public and private health-care services), has a natural leadership and advocacy role in pandemic preparedness and response efforts. In cooperation with other sectors and in support of national intersect oral leadership, the health sector must provide leadership and guidance on the actions needed, in addition to raising awareness of the risk and potential health consequences of an influenza pandemic (Surgo Foundation, 2020). To satisfy this job, the health sector should be prepared to: give solid data on the danger, seriousness, and movement of a pandemic and the adequacy of mediations utilized during a pandemic; organize and proceed with the arrangement of medical care during a flu pandemic; establish steps to decrease the spread of flu in the network and in medical services facilities; and secure and uphold medical care laborers during a pandemic.

Non-health Sectors

Without ahead of schedule and powerful preparedness, social orders may encounter social and monetary interruption, dangers to the progression of basic administrations, diminished production, circulation troubles, and deficiencies of essential commodities.

Disruption of organizations may also have an impact on other businesses and services (Drago & Miller, 2010). For instance, if electrical or water administrations are upset or come up short, the health sector will be not able to keep up ordinary consideration.

The failure of businesses would add significantly to the eventual economic consequences of a pandemic. Some business sectors will be especially vulnerable and certain groups in society are likely to suffer more than others. Developing strong readiness and business coherence plans may empower basic activities to keep during a pandemic and essentially relieve financial and social effects. To limit the unfriendly impacts of a pandemic, all areas ought to set up coherence arrangements to be executed during a pandemic; plan for the conceivable effect on businesses, fundamental services, educational organizations, and also different organizations; build up pandemic

readiness plans; plan for pandemic reaction; plan the allotment of assets to secure workers and clients; speak with and teach representatives on the most proficient method to ensure themselves and on measures that will be actualized; and add to cross-slicing arranging and reaction endeavors to help the kept working of the general public society.

Conclusion

Public health is at a phenomenal and pivotal moment in this worldwide pandemic, with increasing cultural understanding of the preamble that public health plays in our lives.Public health leaders have a unique opportunity to build on that understanding, strengthen trust, and increase funding and support for core public health services. Balancing risks and benefits in the face of great uncertainty is never easy. With COVID-19, the horrific number of deaths and speed of community spread has led to a strong and essential public health emergency response throughout most of the country (Quinn et al., 2011). Keeping the public focused on the significant and progressing measures important to guarantee that anticipation/control endeavors are compelling and that as couple of lives as conceivable are lost will require reinforcing the boundless and profound trust in the science and drilling of public health.

References

Drago R, & Miller K. (2010). Sick at work: infected employees in the workplace during the H1N1 pandemic. Washington (DC): Institute for Women's Policy Research; 2010.

Recommendations for influenza vaccines. (2008). Available at: http://www.who.int/csr/ disease/influenza/vaccinerecommendations, accessed 3 December 2008.

Paschal O. (2020). The rural South defies demographic and political stereotypes; 2017. Available online at:https://www.facingsouth.org/2017/06/rural-south-defies-demographic-and-political-stereotypes. Accessed June 18, 2020.

Surgo Foundation. (2020). Tracking the spread of COVID-19 through the lens of community vulnerability. Available online at: https://precisionforcovid.org/cases,

Quinn S.C., Kumar, S., Freimuth, V.S., Musa, D., Casteneda-Angarita, N.,&Kidwell K. (2011). Racial disparities in exposure, susceptibility, and access to health care in the US H1N1 influenza pandemic. *American Journal of Public Health*, *101*(2):285–293.

US Census Bureau. (2010). Quickfacts; 2010. Available online at: https://www.census.gov/quickfacts. Accessed June 23, 2020.

World Health Organization. (2009a). WHO Interim planning guidance for rapid containment of the initial emergence of pandemic influenza. Available at: http://www. who.int/csr /disease/avian_influenza/guidelines/draftprotocol/en/index.html accessed

World Health Organization. (2009b). Human infection with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus: Updated interim WHO guidance on global surveillance. World Health Organization 2009b. Available at: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/swineflu/guidance/surveillance/WHO_case_definition _swine_flu_2009_04_29.pdf.

World Health Organization. (2009c). WHO Global Surveillance for Pandemic Influenza. World Health Organization 2009c. Available online at: http://www.who.int/csr/disease/influenza/.

World Health Organization. (2005). *International Health Regulations*. ISBN: 978921580410.

World Health Assembly. (2005). Resolution WHA 58.3 Revision of the International Health Regulations. In: Fifty-eighth World Health Assembly, Geneva 16-25 May, 2005. (WHA58/2005/REC/3).

World Health Organization. (2005). *International Health Regulations*. ISBN: 978924158041 0. Articles 5.1, 13.1 and Annex 1.